A Journal of the Bangladesh Pharmacological Society (BDPS)

Bangladesh J Pharmacol 2020; 15: 19-23

A Journal of the Bangladesh Pharmacological Society (BDPS) Journal homepage: www.banglajol.info Abstracted/indexed in Academic Search Complete, Agroforestry Abstracts, Asia Journals Online, Bangladesh Journals Online, Biological Abstracts, BIOSIS Previews, CAB Abstracts, Current Abstracts, Directory of Open Access Journals, EMBASE/Excerpta Medica, Global Health, Google Scholar, HINARI (WHO), International Pharmaceutical Abstracts, Open J-gate, Science Citation Index Expanded, SCOPUS and Social Sciences Citation Index ECEN 1901-0088 ISSN: 1991-0088

# Antimicrobial activities of Allium staticiforme and Allium subhirsutum

## Alican Bahadir Semerci<sup>1</sup>, Dilek İnceçayır<sup>1</sup>, Vusale Mammadova<sup>1</sup>, Ayşegül Hoş<sup>2</sup> and Kenan Tunç<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Biology, Sakarya University, 54187, Sakarya, Turkey; <sup>2</sup>Department of Basic Pharmaceutical Sciences, School of Pharmacy, Istanbul Medipol University, Beykoz, Istanbul, Turkey.

### Article Info

Received: 22 August 2019 5 January 2020 Accepted: Available Online: 9 March 2020 DOI: 10.3329/bjp.v15i1.42373

Cite this article: Semerci AB, İnceçayır D, Mammadova V, Hoş A, Tunç K. Antimicrobial activities of Allium staticiforme and Allium subhirsutum. Bangladesh J Pharmacol. 2020; 15: 19-23.

#### Abstract

The antibacterial and antifungal activities of the bulb and flower of Allium staticiforme and Allium subhirsutum were investigated. In addition, DPPH radical scavenging activity and total phenolic contents were determined. The results show that methanolic extracts of A. staticiforme and A. subhirsutum had antifungal activities against Candida albicans, together with a less activity level against Escherichia coli, Staphylococcus epidermidis, S. aureus, Enterecoccus faecalis, Salmonella typhimurium and Pseudomanas aeruginosa. The total phenolic contents of A. staticiforme leaf and bulb were determined as 17 and 2.4 mg of GAE/100 g, respectively. The IC<sub>50</sub> of methanolic extracts of A. staticiforme and A. subhirsutum were also determined. In conclusion, both A. staticiforme and A. subhirsutum have antifungal activities with weak antibacterial activities. These plants have DPPH radical scavenging activities.

## Introduction

A large number of plants have been reported with antimicrobial properties. Recent studies on several plants such as Duranta erecta (Donkor et al., 2019), Frankenia hirsute (Canli et al., 2017), Pinus coulteri (Merah et al., 2018), Syzygium cumini (Oliveira et al., 2007), Plectranthus glandulosis (Egwaikhide et al., 2007), Warburgia ugandensis (Okello and Kang, 2019) show antimicrobial activities.

Louis Pasteur was the first to describe the antibacterial effect of onion (Allium cepa) and garlic (Allium sativum) juices (Durairaj et al., 2009). However, the antimicrobial activities of A. staticiforme and A. subhirsutum have not been published in scholarly journal.

Recent trends are to correlate the antimicrobial activity of a plant with the free radical scavenging properties.

In the present study, it is aimed to find out the antibacterial and antifungal activities of A. staticiforme and A. subhirsutum. Also, the DPPH radical scavenging activity and the total phenolic contents of A. staticiforme and A. subhirsutum were measured.

# Materials and Methods

#### Materials

A. staticiforme Sm. and A. subhirsutum L. were obtained from the Atatürk Horticultural Central Research Institute, Yalova, Turkey in May 2017. The microorganism strains used in this study were Staphylococcus epidermidis ATCC 12228, Bacillus subtilis ATCC 6633, Escherichia coli ATCC 8739, Enterecoccus faecalis ATCC 29212, Pseudomanas aeruginosa ATCC 27853, Staphylococcus aureus ATCC 29213, Salmonella typhimurium ATCC 14028 and Candida albicans ATCC 1029. All strains were provided from Microorganism Culture Collections Research and Application Center of Istanbul University and Microbiology Laboratory Culture Collection of Gebze Institute of Technology. 2,2-Diphenyl-1-picrylhydrazyl (DPPH) was obtained from Sigma-Aldrich. Folin-Ciocalteu's phenol reagent, gallic acid, ascorbic acid and sodium carbonate were purchased from Merck.

#### Extract preparation

A. staticiforme and A. subhirsutum were divided into



This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 License. You are free to copy, distribute and perform the work. You must attribute the work in the manner specified by the author or licensor.

sections like flower, bulb and leaf. Each part was dried separately via lyophilization method which is based on the sublimation of ice crystal from frozen material. The dried parts were ground into the powder using an electric mill. The obtained powder of flower, leaf and bulb parts of A. staticiforme and A. subhirsutum were extracted using a soxhlet apparatus. Methanol was used as organic solvent for extracting the bioactive compounds from A. staticiforme and A. subhirsutum. 3 g of each part of the plant was placed to the soxhlet apparatus. The extraction was performed during 18 hours with 100 mL of solvent. Rotary evaporation under vacuum at 45°C for 10 min was carried out for removing the solvent. After these processes, the extracts were prepared at the determined concentration (6,400- $3,200 \ \mu g/10 \ \mu L$ ) by adding solvents that used in the extraction process.

#### Determination of total phenolic content

The total phenolic content of methanolic extract was determined by Folin-Ciocalteu procedure as described with minor modifications (Singleton and Rossi, 1965). The 100  $\mu$ L of methanolic extract (1,000  $\mu$ g/mL) was mixed with 200  $\mu$ L of Folin-Ciocalteu (50%) and was kept waiting for 2 min. Then, 1 mL of 2% sodium carbonate solution was added and shaken well. The mixture was kept in a dark place for 1 hour. The absorbance of the mixture was measured at 760 nm by using a spectrophotometer (Shimadzu UV mini-1240). The total phenolic content values were determined from a calibration curve prepared with a series of gallic acid standards (50, 100, 200, 300, 400 mg/L). The results were expressed as mg of GAE/100 g.

### Determination of DPPH radical scavenging activity

2,2-Diphenyl-1-picrylhydrazyl (DPPH) radical scavenging activity was determined by using the procedure

#### Box 1: Disc Diffusion Method

#### Principle

Antimicrobial activity of an extract was first screened for its inhibitory zone by the agar disc diffusion method.

#### Requirements

Amphotericin B, Densitometer, Gentamicin, Incubator, Mueller Hinton agar, Plant extracts (*A. staticiforme* and *A. subhirsutum*), Microorganisms (*S. epidermidis*, *B. subtilis*, *E. coli*, *E. faecalis*, *P. aeruginosa*, *S. aureus*, *S. typhimurium*, *C. albicans*).

#### Procedure

*Step 1:* The bacterial strains to be used was activated with nutrient agar and *Candida albicans* was activated with Sabouraud dextrose agar.

*Step 2:* The overnight bacterial and fungal cultures were utilized to prepare the bacterial and yeast suspensions which were adjusted to 0.5 McFarland by using a densitometer.

Step 3: The sterile discs (6 mm in diameter) were impregnated

reported with minor modifications (Blois, 1958). The methanolic extracts of *A. staticiforme* and *A. subhirsutum* were prepared in a range concentration of 250 to 1,750  $\mu$ g/mL. Then, 1 mL of prepared extract was mixed with 1 mL of 0.04% DPPH solution. Each mixture was shaken vigorously and kept for 30 min in a dark place at room temperature. The absorbance of samples was measured at 517 nm by using a spectrophotometer (Shimadzu UV mini-1240). Methanol was used as blank and ascorbic acid was used as standard solution. A control including 1 mL of methanol and 1 mL of DPPH was also utilized. The inhibition percentage of the samples was calculated according to the following formula:

$$%Inhibition = \frac{A_{control} - A_{sample}}{A_{control}} \times 100$$

Where,  $A_{control}$  is the absorbance of mixture of methanol and DPPH solution without extract and  $A_{sample}$  is the absorbance of sample with DPPH solution

The antiradical activity was stated as  $IC_{50}$  (µg/mL), indicating the extract concentrations scavenging 50% of DPPH radicals. The lower  $IC_{50}$  indicates a higher anti-oxidant activity of a compound.

#### Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis was performed using SPSS, version 20.0. Group comparisons were performed using Oneway analysis of variance (ANOVA) followed by Duncan test. P value less than 0.05 was considered to be statistically significant.

#### Results

The results of the present study indicated that A.

with the 10 µL of prepared extracts.

*Step 4*: The inoculations of density adjusted microorganism suspensions to Mueller Hinton agars were performed using sterile swabs.

*Step 5:* The impregnated discs were slightly placed to the inoculated Mueller Hinton agar.

Step 6: The incubation process was carried out at 37°C for 24 hours.

*Step 7:* After this procedure, the diameter of the inhibition zone was measured by a digital caliper.

*Step 8:* Solvent (methanol) impregnated discs were used as the negative control and commercial antibiotic discs (gentamicin 10  $\mu$ g, amphotericin B 100 U) were utilized as the positive one.

*Step 9:* The antimicrobial activity test was performed three times under aseptic conditions and the diameter of inhibition zone measured was the average of the three replicates.

#### **References** (video)

Merah et al., 2018; Qaralleh, 2018

Inhibition conditients of methanolic extract of staticiforme and subfirstrum   Extend (lag disc)   Extract (lag disc)   Extract (lag disc)   Extract (lag disc)   Extract (lag disc)   Extract (lag disc)   Extract (lag disc)   Extract (lag disc)   Bability sub- splot discours Interaccurs Bability sub- splot discours Extension   A staticiform Mathibition zone interes Bability sub- splot discours Extension   A staticiform Mathibition zone interes Bability sub- splot discours Extension   A staticiform Mathibition zone interes Bability sub- splot discours <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th>												
Introductional parameter (mm)Extract (mix colsAntibition contractional parameter (mm)Extractional parameter (mix colspan="4">StaphylococcusBacillus sub-EnterecoursBulbMeOH640082.2 ± 0182.2 ± 0182.2 ± 0185.2 ± 0486.5 ± 0FlowerMeOH640012.4 ± 2.211.5 ± 0.693.2 ± 0.286.0 ± 085.5 ± 0.4FlowerMeOH640012.4 ± 2.211.5 ± 0.693.2 ± 0.286.0 ± 085.5 ± 0.4LeafMeOH640082.2 ± 0.291.15 ± 0.693.2 ± 0.287.0 ± 0.287.0 ± 0.2BulbMeOH640082.2 ± 0.211.5 ± 0.693.2 ± 0.287.0 ± 0.287.0 ± 0.2DubleMeOH640082.2 ± 0.213.1 ± 0.293.2 ± 0.283.2 ± 0.287.0 ± 0.2LeafMeOH640082.2 ± 0.213.1 ± 0.283.2 ± 0.283.2 ± 0.387.0 ± 0.2FlowerMeOH640082.2 ± 0.213.1 ± 0.283.2 ± 0.283.2 ± 0.387.0 ± 0.2FlowerMeOH640082.2 ± 0.213.1 ± 0.283.2 ± 0.383.2 ± 0.383.2 ± 0.3FlowerMeOH640082.2 ± 0.213.1 ± 0.283.2 ± 0.383.2 ± 0.383.2 ± 0.3FlowerMeOH640082.2 ± 0.213.1 ± 0.283.2 ± 0.383.2 ± 0.383.2 ± 0.3FlowerMeOH640082.2 ± 0.213.1 ± 0.283.2 ± 0.383.2 ± 0.383.2 ± 0.3 <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th>Inhibit</th> <th>ion zone diame</th> <th>ters of methand</th> <th>olic extract of</th> <th>A. staticiforme</th> <th>and A. subhirs</th> <th>sutum</th> <th></th> <th></th>				Inhibit	ion zone diame	ters of methand	olic extract of	A. staticiforme	and A. subhirs	sutum		
Bullo NeoH Exhercinia coli Supplyocccus Bacillus sub- lisis Supplyocccus Futrecoccus Entrecoccus <thentrecoccus< th=""> Entrecoccus</thentrecoccus<>	Щ	Sxtract (µg/	/disc)					Inhibition zone	diameter (mm)			
					Escherichia coli	Staphylococcus epidermidis	Bacillus sub- tilis	Staphylococcus aureus	Enterecoccus faecalis	Salmonella typhimurium	Pseudomanas aeruginosa	Candida albicans
Hower 3200 00 6.0±0 6.5±0 0 0   Hower M6OH 6400 12.4±22 11.5±0.6 9.3±0.5 0 0 1   Leaf M6OH 6400 0.0±0 9.0±0 9.3±0.5 0 0 1   Leaf M6OH 6400 0.0±0 9.0±0 0 0 0 0 1   Jacob 6400 8.0±0 0.0±0 <th>A. staticiforme</th> <td>Bulb</td> <td>MeOH</td> <td>6400</td> <td>0</td> <td><math>8.2 \pm 0.1</math></td> <td><math>8.2 \pm 1.3</math></td> <td><math>6.0 \pm 0</math></td> <td><math>8.5 \pm 0.4</math></td> <td><math>9.7 \pm 1.1</math></td> <td><math display="block">8.8\pm0.5</math></td> <td><math display="block">28.2\pm1.5</math></td>	A. staticiforme	Bulb	MeOH	6400	0	$8.2 \pm 0.1$	$8.2 \pm 1.3$	$6.0 \pm 0$	$8.5 \pm 0.4$	$9.7 \pm 1.1$	$8.8\pm0.5$	$28.2\pm1.5$
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$				3200	0	$6.0 \pm 0$	$6.5 \pm 0$	0	$6.0 \pm 0$	$6.6\pm0.5$	$7.0 \pm 0.3$	$21.0\pm1.6$
		Flower	MeOH	6400	$12.4 \pm 2.2$	$11.5\pm0.6$	$9.3 \pm 0.5$	0	$10.1 \pm 0.6$	$7.5 \pm 0.6$	$7.4 \pm 0.1$	$24.1 \pm 1.2$
Leaf MeOH 6400 0				3200	$6.0 \pm 0$	$9.0 \pm 0$	0	0	0	0	0	$13.4 \pm 0.3$
Bulb Bulb B400 8.2±0.2 13.1±0.2 0 0   Bulb MeOH 6400 8.2±0.2 13.1±0.2 0 8.3±0.1   Hower 3200 0 8.2±0.2 13.1±0.2 0 8.3±0.1   Hower MeOH 6400 8.2±0.2 13.1±0.2 0 0 0   Teat MeOH 6400 8.2±0.2 13.1±0.2 0 8.3±0.1   Leaf MeOH 6400 8.2±0.2 13.1±0.2 0 0 0   Leaf MeOH 6400 6.5±0.1 0 0 0 0   Leaf MeOH 6400 6.5±0.1 0 0 0 0   Iteat MeOH 6400 6.5±0.1 0 0 0 0 0   Iteat MeOH 6400 6.5±0.3 0 0 0 0 0   MeS MeOH 6400 0 0 0 0 <td< td=""><th></th><td>Leaf</td><td>MeOH</td><td>6400</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td></td<>		Leaf	MeOH	6400	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$				3200	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Iower Bacoth </td <th>A. subhirsutum</th> <td>Bulb</td> <td>MeOH</td> <td>6400</td> <td><math>8.2 \pm 0.2</math></td> <td><math>13.1 \pm 0.2</math></td> <td>0</td> <td><math>8.3 \pm 0.1</math></td> <td><math>8.2 \pm 0.3</math></td> <td><math>9.9 \pm 1.5</math></td> <td><math>9.7 \pm 0.8</math></td> <td><math display="block">13.8\pm1.2</math></td>	A. subhirsutum	Bulb	MeOH	6400	$8.2 \pm 0.2$	$13.1 \pm 0.2$	0	$8.3 \pm 0.1$	$8.2 \pm 0.3$	$9.9 \pm 1.5$	$9.7 \pm 0.8$	$13.8\pm1.2$
Hower MeOH 6400 82±0.2 13.1±0.2 0 8.3±0.1   Leaf 3200 0 0 0 0 0 0 0   Leaf MeOH 6400 6.5±0 9.2±0.7 0 6.5±0.1 0 0 0   Leaf MeOH 6400 6.5±0 9.2±0.7 0				3200	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	$10.7 \pm 1.1$
Leaf 3200 0 0 0 0 0 0 10   Leaf MeOH 6400 6.5±0 9.2±0.7 0 6.5±0.1 8.7±0   1 3200 0 7.8±0.3 0 0 0 0 8.7±0   1 3200 0 7.8±0.3 0 0 0 0 0 10		Flower	MeOH	6400	$8.2 \pm 0.2$	$13.1 \pm 0.2$	0	$8.3 \pm 0.1$	$8.2 \pm 0.3$	$9.9 \pm 1.5$	$9.7 \pm 0.8$	$20.5\pm1.2$
Leaf MeOH 6400 65±0 9.2±0.7 0 6.5±0.1 8.7±0   1 3200 0 7.8±0.3 0 0 0 0 7.4   1 3200 0 7.8±0.3 0 0 0 0 0 1   1 19 21 21 20 20 0				3200	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	$18.5 \pm 1.1$
3200 0 7.8 ± 0.3 0 0   19 21 17 20		Leaf	MeOH	6400	$6.5 \pm 0$	$9.2 \pm 0.7$	0	$6.5 \pm 0.1$	$8.7 \pm 0.5$	$9.3 \pm 0.3$	$8.3 \pm 0.5$	$8.6\pm0.7$
19 21 17 20				3200	0	$7.8 \pm 0.3$	0	0	0	$7.5 \pm 0.5$	0	$6.6 \pm 0.5$
	Gentamicin (10 µ	(B)			19	21	17	20	20	21	22	not tested
Amphotericin B (100 U) not tested not tested not tested not tested not tested	Amphotericin B	(100 U)			not tested	not tested	not tested	not tested	not tested	not tested	not tested	16

21

staticiforme and A. subhirsutum extracts had great potential as antifungal and antibacterial agents against the microorganisms (Table I). C. albicans showed maximum sensitivity (28.2  $\pm$  1.5 mm zone of inhibition) to the methanolic extract (in 6,400 µg/disc concentration) of A. staticiforme bulb. However, the methanolic extract of A. subhirsutum flower had shown strong antifungal activity with 20.5 mm inhibition zone diameter against C. albicans. The methanolic extract of flower part of A. staticiforme had antibacterial activity against the test microorganisms. The level of antimicrobial activity of A. subhirsutum and A. staticiforme has been evaluated to be as follows: bulb>flower>leaf.

The IC<sub>50</sub> values of *A. staticiforme* and *A. subhirsutum* were determined for leaf as 693 and 1086  $\mu$ g/mL, respectively (Figure 1). Also, the IC<sub>50</sub> values of bulb part of *A. staticiforme* and *A. subhirsutum* were found as 1362 and 847  $\mu$ g/mL, respectively (Table II). The total phenolic contents of the leaf part of *A. staticiforme* and *A. subhirsutum* were measured as 17 and 17.5 mg GAE/100 g, respectively (Table II).




Figure 1: DPPH radical scavenging activity of *A. staticiforme* and *A. subhirsutum* 

Each value was represented as mean ± SEM of three measurements

Table II					
Total phenolic contents and IC <sub>50</sub> values of <i>A. stat-</i> <i>iciforme and A. subhirsutum</i> methanolic extracts					
Extract	TPC (mg	IC <sub>50</sub>			
(µg/disc)	GAE/100 g)	(µg/mL)			
A. subhirsutum bulb	$4.8 \pm 0.5^{\mathrm{b}}$	$847 \pm 6.8^{\circ}$			
A. subhirsutum leaf	$17.5 \pm 0.2^{d}$	$1086 \pm 2.7^{d}$			
A. staticiforme bulb	$2.4 \pm 0.1^{a}$	$1362 \pm 1.1^{e}$			
A. staiciforme leaf	$17 \pm 0.1^{\circ}$	$693 \pm 2.3^{b}$			
Ascorbic acid	Not tested	$5.65 \pm 0.1^{a}$			
Different letters symbolized significant differences (p<0.05) by mean of the ANOVA Duncan-test; TPC means total phenolic contents					

## Discussion

The most species of Allium have antimicrobial activity and the maximum level is reached on the mushrooms. In a study made by Iwalokun et al. (2004) the extracts of A. sativum produces an average inhibition zone diameter of 29.8 mm for various 10 Candida sp. In another work (Shirani et al. 2017), it has been stated that the extract obtained from Allium tripedale produces an inhibition zone diameter of 21 mm. This work supports the result that the Allium species show very high antifungal activity against the well-known fungi. It was found that the methanolic extracts of bulb and flower of A. staticiforme and A. subhirsutum have highly strong antifungal activities against C. albicans. Therefore, the activity of A. staticiforme and A. subhirsutum on C. albicans is striking. In this study, it was also determined that the flower and bulb section of A. staticiforme possess antibacterial activity against E. coli, S. epidermidis, B. subtilis, S. aureus, E. faecalis, S. typhimurium and P. aeruginosa. Furthermore, A. subhirsutum have shown antibacterial activity against E. coli, S. epidermidis, S. aureus, E. faecalis, S. typhimurium and P. aeruginosa.

In this work, the  $IC_{50}$  values of the extracts are in between 693-1362 µg/mL. The antioxidant activity of the extracts has been evaluated to be less with respect to ascorbic acid. It was found that *A. staticiforme* leaf possesses higher antioxidant activity than the bulb of the plant. On the other hand, it was determined that the bulb part of *A. subhirsutum* have higher antioxidant activity than the leaf part of the plant. Discrepancies in extract activities might be attributed to the joint influences of both genetic factors as well as the growing conditions. Genotypic and environmental factors are found to affect the antioxidant activities in onions (Kaur et al., 2009; Ghahremanimajd et al., 2012).

There are several works on the relation between the anti-oxidant activity and the phenolic contents. Some authors have found a correlation between the phenolic content and the antioxidant activity, while others found no such relationship (Ismail et al., 2004; Aksoy et al., 2013). In this work, we have found no relation between the two. For example, for the leaf part of *A. staticiforme* has higher phenolic content with respect to its bulb part, whereas the inverse is true i.e., its antioxidant activity is higher in the bulb.

Antimicrobial compounds of *Allium* vary depending on procedure, for example various thiosulfinates occur when freshly crushed; dialk(en)yl sulfides are present when crushed and stored; ajoene is revealed when macerated in oil; heterocyclic sulfur compounds, allyl alcohol and 3-(allyltrisulfanyl)-2-aminopropanoic acid occur when heated at 121°C (Kyung, 2012).

The investigation of antimicrobial properties of plant extracts attracts great attention in the food industry owing to their potential use in natural additives. The biological activities of plants are important for the pharmaceutical industry. From this point of view, the results of the antibacterial, the antifungal and the DPPH radical scavenging activities of *A. staticiforme* and *A. subhirsutum* reported in the present study might be beneficial for the food industry and the pharmaceutical applications.

## Conclusion

*A. staticiforme* and *A. subhirsutum* have antifungal activities with weak antibacterial activities. Both have DPPH radical scavenging activities.

## **Conflict of Interest**

Authors declare no conflict of interest.

## Acknowledgement

This work was supported by Sakarya University under Project No. BAPK 2017-02-20-006.

## References

- Aksoy L, Kolay E, Ağılönü Y, Aslan Z, Kargıoğlu M. Free radical scavenging activity, total phenolic content, total antioxidant status of endemic *Thermopsis turcica*. Saudi J Biol Sci. 2013; 20: 235-39.
- Blois MS. Anti-oxidant determinations by the use of a stable free radical. Nature 1958; 181: 1199-200.
- Canli K, Simsek O, Yetgin A, Altuner E. Determination of the chemical composition and antimicrobial activity of *Frankenia hirsuta*. Bangladesh J Pharmacol. 2017; 12: 463-69.
- Donkor S, Larbie C, Komlaga G, Emikpe BO. Phytochemical, antimicrobial, and antioxidant profiles of *Duranta erecta* L. Parts. Biochem Res Int. 2019; 2019.
- Durairaj S, Srinivasan S, Lakshmanaperumalsamy P. *In vitro* antibacterial activity and stability of garlic extract at different pH and temperature. Electron J Biol. 2009; 5: 5-10.

- Egwaikhide PA, Gimba CE. Analysis of the phytochemical content and antimicrobial activity of *Plectranthus glandulosis* whole plant. Middle-East J Sci Res. 2007; 2: 135-38.
- Ghahremanimajd H, Dashti F, Dastan D, Mumivand H, Hadian J, Esna-Ashari M. Antioxidant and antimicrobial activities of Iranian Mooseer (*Allium hirtifolium* Boiss.) populations. Hortic Environ Bioechnol. 2012; 53: 116-22.
- Ismail A, Marjan ZM, Foong CW. Total antioxidant activity and phenolic content in selected vegetables. Food Chem. 2004; 87: 581-86.
- Iwalokun BA, Ogunledun A, Ogbolu DO, Bamiro SB, Jimi-Omojola J. In vitro antimicrobial properties of aqueous garlic extract against multidrug-resistant bacteria and Candida species from Nigeria. J Med Food. 2004; 7: 327-33.
- Kaur C, Joshi S, Kapoor HC. Antioxidants in onion (*Allium cepa* L.) cultivars grown in İndia. J Food Biochem. 2009; 33: 184-200.
- Kyung KH. Antimicrobial properties of Allium species. Curr Opin Biotechnol. 2012; 23: 142–47.
- Merah S, Dahmane D, Krimat S, Metidji H, Nouasri A, Lamari L, Dob T. Chemical analysis of phenolic compounds and determination of antioxidant, antimicrobial and cytotoxic activities of organic extracts of *Pinus coulteri*. Bangladesh J Pharmacol. 2018; 13: 120-29.
- Okello D, Kang Y. Ethnopharmacological potentials of Warburgia ugandensis on antimicrobial activities. Chinese J Integr Med. 2019, 2019.
- Oliveira GF, Furtado N, Filho AA, Martins JK, Cunha WR, Silva ML. Antimicrobial activity of *Syzygium cumini* (Myrtaceae) leaves extract. Br J Microbiol. 2007; 38: 1517-25.
- Qaralleh H. Chemical composition and antibacterial activity of Origanum ramonense essential oil on the  $\beta$ -lactamase and extended-spectrum  $\beta$ -lactamase urinary tract isolates. Bangladesh J Pharmacol. 2018; 13: 280-86.
- Shirani M, Samimi A, Kalantari H, Madani M, Zanganeh AK. Chemical composition and antifungal effect of hydroalcoholic extract of *Allium tripedale* against Candida species. Curr Med Mycol. 2017; 3: 6-12.
- Singleton VL, Rossi JA. Colorimetry of total phenolics with phosphomolybdic-phosphotungstic acid reagents. Am J Enol Vitic. 1965; 16: 144-58.

#### Author Info

Kenan Tunç (Principal contact) e-mail: ktunc@sakarya.edu.tr