AN ARTIFICIAL NEURAL NETWORK DESIGN FOR DETERMINATION OF HASHIMOTO'S THYROIDITIS SUB-GROUPS

Mehmet Emin Aktan¹, Erhan Akdoğan², Namık Zengin³, Ömer Faruk Güney⁴, Rabia Edibe Parlar⁵

Abstract: In this study, an artificial neural network was developed for estimating Hashimoto's Thyroiditis subgroups. Medical analysis and measurements from 75 patients were used to determine the parameters most effective on disease sub-groups. The study used statistical analyses and an artificial neural network that was trained by the determined parameters. The neural network had four inputs: thyroid stimulating hormone, free thyroxine (fT4), right lobe size (RLS), and $RLS^2 - fT4^4$, and two outputs for three groups: euthyroid, subclinical, and clinical. After training, the network was tested with data collected from 30 patients. Results show that, overall, the neural network estimated the sub-groups with 90% accuracy. Hence, the study showed that determination of Hashimoto's Thyroiditis sub-groups can be made via designed artificial neural network.

UDC Classification: 004.8, DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.12955/cbup.v4.845

Keywords: artificial neural networks, hashimoto, thyroiditis, statistical analyze, diagnosis.

Introduction

Hashimoto's thyroiditis (HT), one of the most common autoimmune disease, was described over a century ago as a pronounced lymphoid goiter affecting approximately 2% of the population and being 20 times more prevalent in women than men. An autoimmune disease is a disorder in which the body's immune system attacks the body's own cells and organs. Normally, the immune system protects the body from infection by identifying and destroying bacteria, viruses, and other potentially harmful foreign substances. In HT, the immune system attacks the thyroid gland, causing inflammation, and hinders the thyroid gland in producing balancing hormones (Omitek, Burda, & Wojcik, 2013; Caturegli, Remigis, & Rose, 2014).

Thyroid hormones regulate metabolism and affect almost all organs in the body. Hashimoto's disease often leads to reduced thyroid function, or hypothyroidism. Hypothyroidism is a disorder that occurs when the thyroid fails to make enough thyroid hormone for the body's needs (Ozyılmaz & Yıldırım, 2002). The thyroid produces two thyroid hormones, triiodothyronine (T3) and thyroxine (T4). Triiodothyronine is the active hormone and is derived from T4. Thyroid-stimulating hormone (TSH), which is produced by the pituitary gland in the brain, regulates thyroid hormone production (Omitek, Burda, & Wojcik, 2013; Caturegli, Remigis, & Rose, 2014; Ozyılmaz & Yıldırım, 2002; Health Information, 2016). The diagnosis of HT is based on the indication of excursive antibodies to thyroid antigens and reduced echogenicity on thyroid sonogram in a patient with proper clinical features. Diagnosis begins with a physical exam and medical history. A goiter, nodules, or growths may be found during a physical exam, and symptoms may suggest hypothyroidism. Health care providers will then perform blood tests to confirm the diagnosis. Diagnostic blood tests may include the TSH test, T4 test, and anti-thyroid and anti-body tests, as well as common methods to diagnose HT, including ultrasound and computational-tomography scans (Ozyılmaz & Yıldırım, 2002; Health Information, 2016).

Artificial neural networks (ANNs) are widely used in science and technology, with applications in various branches of engineering and medicine. Artificial neural networks have many advantages, such as, flexible modelling structure for large data sets and highly accurate results that supports clinical decision making. Artificial neural networks have been used in diagnosis of many diseases. In Omitek,

¹ Mehmet Emin Aktan, Research assistant, Yildiz Technical University, Department of Mechatronics Engineering, Republic of Turkey, meaktan@yildiz.edu.tr

² Erhan Akdoğan, Associate Professor, Yildiz Technical University, Department of Mechatronics Engineering, Republic of Turkey, eakdogan@yildiz.edu.tr

³ Namık Zengin, Research assistant, Yildiz Technical University, Department of Mechatronics Engineering, Republic of Turkey, zengin@yildiz.edu.tr

⁴ Ömer Faruk Güney, Research assistant, Yildiz Technical University, Department of Mechatronics Engineering, Republic of Turkey, oguney@yildiz.edu.tr

⁵ Rabia Edibe Parlar, Student, Medipol University, Department of Pharmacy, Republic of Turkey, reparlar@std.medipol.com

Burda, and Wojcik (2013), diagnosis of Hashimoto's thyroiditis was carried out using ultrasound images of thyroid glands and ANN. In Caturegli, Remigis, and Rose (2014), determination of thyroid illnesses was carried out via ANN. In Er, Temurtas, and Tanrıkulu (2008), diagnosis of tuberculosis was performed by ANN with 95.08% accuracy. Castanho, Hernandes, De Re, Rautenberg, and Billis (2013) used an expert system for predicting the pathological stage of prostate cancer. In Takahashi, Hayashi, & Watanabe (2010), diagnosis of schizophrenia was carried out by ANN with 87.90% accuracy. In Kaya, Aktan, Akdoğan, and Koru (2015), diagnosis of anemia in children was performed using ANN with 90.00% accuracy.

The aim of this study is to diagnose (determine) Hashimoto's thyroiditis sub-groups via artificial neural networks. There is no exact therapy or medicine for treating the advanced stage of this disease. This study will help diagnosis early stages of the disease. In this way, patients could be monitored with imaging testing much earlier. A vital issue is to increase the effectiveness of treatment, so that diagnosis can be achieved as early as possible.

Data Analysis

The analyses, performed using SPSS software, identified the designated parameters from a total of eight (body mass index, waist measurement, hip measurement, TSH, fT3, fT4, right lobe size (RLS), or left lobe size) tested and measured in 75 Hashimoto's thyroiditis patients, that affected the disease sub-groups. Mode, mean, median, and table distribution graphs were obtained from univariate analyses. A regression analysis with a post-hoc test was performed to measure the relationship between two or more variables. This approach provided an opportunity to obtain both descriptive and inferential statistics. Calculations were based on 5% margin of error. Further hypothesis related to whether the data showed effects in the patients. The results of hypothesis testing were considered suitable for modeling. The t-test and chi-squared test were performed to establish the hypothesis. The t-test was performed for the comparison of paired samples and groups, with a variance test performed to examine the difference between groups. Since the data was homogeneously distributed, a Tukey's range test was performed. The results of the regression analysis of variation (ANOVA), and coefficient values identified whether the model could be generated and, following this, a correlation analysis was performed.

Training Artificial Neural Networks

The Neural Network Toolbox of Matlab© R2013b was used to create, train, and test the artificial neural network. First, training and test data were normalized between -1 and 1. Then the training and test data along with the output data were assigned to variables. The network training function, TRAINLM, the learning function, LEARNGDM, and the mean squared error as the performance function were used.

Training and test data were transferred as input data, and the output of training data as target data to create the network and this was followed by training of the neural network. The training was achieved by a backpropagation method after setting the network properties and the training parameters. The network was tested with 30 data points collected from patients.

Results and Discussion

Table 1 shows the results of the t-Test, and Table 2 the results of the regression and ANOVA. According to the results of the coefficients table, obtained after the regression, the most important parameters affecting the disease sub-groups were TSH, fT4, and RLS.

Structure of Artificial Neural Networks

The network was developed to feed forward multilayer perceptron with a 3-layer structure. For selection of input parameters, various combinations were trialed for best performance. The results showed that the network was able to diagnose the disease with high accuracy. The network structure is shown in Figure 1. As a result of network training with the three parameters identified from the data analysis, i.e., TSH, fT4, and RLS, up to 80% accuracy was obtained. Because data of euthyroid and subclinical groups were closely related, effective determination could not be achieved. Therefore, various trials were performed with the power of input parameters and best accuracy (90%) was

obtained by squaring the value for the right lobe side and subtracting the value for free triiodothyronine to the power of four (equation: $RLS^2 - fT^4$). The trial results are shown in Table 4.

Parameters	Sex	Ν	Mean	Std. Deviation	Std. Error Mean
Waist	male	10	88.333	14.874	4.958
Waist	female	65	81.774	10.968	1.393
Dody Maga Inday	male	10	25.760	4.805	1.519
Body Mass Index	female	65	26.006	6.008	0.751
Him	male	10	100.222	9.562	3.187
Нір	female	65	102.564	10.275	1.305
TSH	male	10	45.363	58.636	18.542
1511	female	65	13.547	23.792	2.951
fT4	male	10	0.812	0.308	0.097
114	female	65	0.906	0.198	0.024
fT3	male	10	2.677	0.992	0.330
115	female	65	2.928	0.376	0.049
RLS	male	10	19.300	5.598	1.770
KLS	female	65	16.359	2.026	0.253
	male	10	50.300	6.783	2.145
LLS	female	65	47.890	6.796	0.849

TSH: thyroid-stimulating hormone; fT4: free thyroxine; fT3: free triiodothyronine; RLS: right lobe side; LLS: left lobe side


Source: Authors

Table 2: Regression results for dependent variable, right lobe side, and predictors, thyroidstimulating hormone, free thyroxine, and free triiodothyronine

Model Summary

Model	R	R Square	A	djusted R	Square	Std.	Error of the	Estimate
1	0.202ª	0.04	1	5	-0.007			1.92357
	-	-	A	NOVA				
Model		Sum of Squ	ares	df	df Mean S		F	Sig.
1	Regression		9.431		3	3.144	0.850	0.472 ^a
	Residual	22	2.006	6	0	3.700		
	Total	23	1.438	6				
			Coe	efficients				
		Unstandardized	ficients	Standardized Coefficients				
Model		В	Std.	Error	Beta	l	t	Sig.
1 (Constant)	16.822		2.309			7.286	0.000
Т	TSH	0.009		0.012		0.136	0.742	0.461
f	T4	1.632		1.476		0.187	1.106	0.273
f	Т3	-0.636		0.779		-0.157	-0.816	0.417
^a Predictor triiodothyr		. TSH: thyroid	l-stimu	ilating ho	ormone; f	Г4: fre	e thyroxine	; fT3: free
Source: Au	thors							

	P	ody Mass Inde	¥.			Waist				
			for alpha = 0.05	$\begin{array}{c c} & & & \\ \hline & & \\$						
Group	Ν	Subernitear set	Group	Ν	Subset I	<u>1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 </u>				
euthyroid	25		1 25.172		25	81.652				
clinical	25		25.556		25	83.000				
subclinical	25		27.241		25		83.125			
Sig.			0.432			0.903				
		6 TT 4								
	1	fT4	for alpha = 0.05	Hip Subset for alpha = 0.05						
Group	Ν	1	$\frac{101 \text{ alpha} = 0.03}{2}$	Group	Ν	Subset I	<u>1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 </u>			
clinical	25	0.737		clinical	25		101.791			
subclinical	25 25	0.757	0.918		25 25		102.000			
euthyroid	25		1.020) subclinical	25		103.000			
Sig.		1.00	0.13/				0.914			
		1.00								
		TSH				fT3				
		Subset for	alpha = 0.05			Subset fo	or alpha $= 0.05$			
Group	Ν	1	2	Group	Ν	1				
euthyroid	25	3.202		clinical	25	2.731				
subclinical	25	6.791 subclinical 25					2.950			
clinical	25	0.883	43.374	euthyroid	25		3.005			
Sig.			1.000	Sig.		0.169				
riiodothyror Source: Auth							oxine; fT3: f			
Table 4: Tri	al rest	ılts of training a : TSH, fT4, RLS		nput Paramete	rs: TSF	I, fT4, RLS,				
Table 4: Tri	al rest	TSH, fT4, RLS	J		rs: TSF					
Table 4: Tria Input Paran	al rest	: TSH, fT4, RLS Accuracy	(%)	nput Paramete RLS ² – fT4 ²		Accurac	y (%)			
Table 4: Tria Input Paran Neurons	al rest	TSH, fT4, RLS Accuracy TANSIG	I (%) LOGSIG	nput Paramete RLS ² – fT4 ² Neurons	TAN	Accurac	y (%) LOGSIG			
Table 4: Tria Input Paran Neurons 20	al rest	TSH, fT4, RLS Accuracy TANSIG 80	(%) LOGSIG 73	nput Paramete RLS ² – fT4 ² Neurons 20	TAN 8	Accurac ISIG 3	y (%) LOGSIG 70			
Table 4: Tria Input Paran Neurons 20 50	al rest	: TSH, fT4, RLS Accuracy TANSIG 80 83	I I I I	nput Paramete RLS ² – fT4 ² Neurons 20 50	TAN 8 8	Accurac ISIG 3 3	y (%) LOGSIG 70 77			
Table 4: Tria Input Paran Neurons 20 50 60	al rest	Accuracy TANSIG 80 83 83	I I	Imput Paramete RLS ² – fT4 ² Neurons 20 50 60	TAN 8 8 8	Accurac ISIG 3 3 3	y (%) LOGSIG 70 77 80			
Table 4: Trial Input Paran Neurons 20 50 60 70	al rest	Accuracy TANSIG 80 83 60	I I	Neurons 20 50 60 70	TAN 8 8 8 7	Accurac ISIG 3 3 3 7	y (%) LOGSIG 70 77 80 70			
Table 4: Tria Input Paran Neurons 20 50 60 70 80	al rest	Accuracy TANSIG 80 83 83 60 73	I I (%) I LOGSIG I 73 I 70 I 80 I 70 I 67 I	Neurons 20 50 60 70 80	TAN 8 8 8 7 7 7	Accurac ISIG 3 3 3 7 7	y (%) LOGSIG 70 77 80 70 67			
Table 4: Trial Input Paran Neurons 20 50 60 70	al rest	Accuracy TANSIG 80 83 60	I I	Neurons 20 50 60 70	TAN 8 8 8 7 7 7	Accurac ISIG 3 3 3 7	y (%) LOGSIG 70 77 80 70			
Neurons 20 50 60 70 80 100	al resu	Accuracy TANSIG 80 83 83 60 73	I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I	Neurons 20 50 60 70 80	TAN 8 8 8 7 7 7 7 7	Accurac ISIG 3 3 3 7 7 0	y (%) LOGSIG 70 77 80 70 67 67 67			
Table 4: Trial Input Paran Neurons 20 50 60 70 80 100	al resu	: TSH, fT4, RLS Accuracy TANSIG 80 83 83 60 73 70 : TSH, fT4, RLS, Accuracy	I I	Neurons 20 50 60 70 80 100	TAN 8 8 8 7 7 7 7 7	Accurac ISIG 3 3 3 7 7 0	y (%) LOGSIG 70 77 80 70 67 67 67			
Table 4: Trial Input Paran Neurons 20 50 60 70 80 100	al resu	: TSH, fT4, RLS Accuracy TANSIG 80 83 83 60 73 70 : TSH, fT4, RLS,	I I	Neurons 20 50 60 70 80 100	TAN 8 8 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7	Accurac ISIG 3 3 3 7 7 0 H, fT4, RLS,	y (%) LOGSIG 70 77 80 70 67 67 67			
Neurons 20 50 60 70 80 100 Input Paramarkanaan RLS ² – $fT4^4$	al resu	: TSH, fT4, RLS Accuracy TANSIG 80 83 83 60 73 70 : TSH, fT4, RLS, Accuracy	I I I I <td>Neurons 20 50 60 70 80 100</td> <td>TAN 8 8 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7</td> <td>Accurac ISIG 3 3 3 3 7 7 0 I, fT4, RLS, Accura</td> <td>y (%) LOGSIG 70 77 80 70 67 67 67 cy (%)</td>	Neurons 20 50 60 70 80 100	TAN 8 8 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7	Accurac ISIG 3 3 3 3 7 7 0 I, fT4, RLS, Accura	y (%) LOGSIG 70 77 80 70 67 67 67 cy (%)			




Source: Authors

According to trial results, four of the inputs to the ANN were TSH, fT4, RLS, and $RLS^2 - fT4^4$, and two of the outputs were representative of euthyroid, subclinical, and clinical. There were 60 neurons in the hidden layer. Tangent-sigmoid were used as an activation function. The data for 45 of the 75 patients were used for training and another 30 for testing the neural network. Samples of the training and test data are shown in Table 5.

TSH mU/mL	fT4 ng/dL	RLS mm	$RLS^2 - fT4^4$	0 0: euthyroid 0 1: subclinical 1 1: clinical		
5.80	0.91	15	224.3	0	1	
20.00	0.80	12	143.5	1	1	
1.76	1.20	19	358.9	0	0	
RLS: right lobe triiodothyronine Source: Authors	side; TSH: thyroi	d-stimulating horm	none; fT4: free	thyroxine;	fT3: free	

Performance of the network and regression results plots are shown in Figure 2. Figure 2a shows the mean square error (MSE) reached 10⁻²³ after epoch 600 and best validation performance was 9.0427e⁻²⁴ at epoch 1000. In contrast, training and validation results were highly satisfactory, depending on the MSE (Figure 2b). This result indicated that the neural network was successfully trained.



Testing Artificial Neural Networks

Once the outputs of the network were compared with actual results, there were three incorrect outcomes found. A comparison of the artificial network outputs with actual doctor's (or 'real') values is shown in Table 4. The binary numbers '0, 0' indicated the euthyroid sub-group, '0, 1' the subclinical sub-group, and '1, 1' the clinical sub-group.

Patient P1	Real Value		Network Output		Accuracy (%)	Patient	Real Value		Networ k Output		Accuracy (%)
	0	0	0	0	100	P16	0	1	0	1	100
P2	0	0	0	0	100	P17	1	1	1	1	100
P3	0	1	0	1	100	P18	1	1	1	1	100
P4	1	1	1	1	100	P19	0	0	0	0	100
P5	1	1	1	1	100	P20	0	1	0	1	100
P6	0	1	0	1	100	P21	0	0	0	1	C
P7	0	0	0	0	100	P22	0	1	0	0	0
P8	0	0	0	0	100	P23	1	1	1	1	100
P9	1	1	1	1	100	P24	1	1	1	1	100
P10	0	1	0	1	100	P25	0	0	0	0	100
P11	0	0	0	0	100	P26	0	1	0	1	100
P12	1	1	1	1	100	P27	0	0	0	1	0
P13	0	1	0	1	100	P28	0	1	0	1	100
P14	1	1	1	1	100	P29	0	1	0	1	100
P15	0	0	0	0	100	P30	1	1	1	1	100
					Total accura	cv of the ar	tificial	neura	l netw	/ork:	90

For 27 of 30 cases, outputs of the network and decisions of the doctor were in agreeance, and total accuracy of the artificial neural network was 90% (Table 4). Incorrect predictions emerged in the euthyroid and subclinical groups, while the clinical group was estimated with 100% accuracy.

Conclusion

This paper describes an artificial neural network that was developed to determine Hashimoto's thyroiditis sub-groups. Medical analyses and measurements, from 75 patients, were used to determine the most influential parameters on the disease sub-groups. The study used statistical analyses and a neural network that was trained by the determined parameters. In the test, outputs of the network were compared to the decisions of the doctor. The reason for the outcome was that euthyroid and subclinical sub groups were closely related. We consider the developed artificial neural network model adequate for use in helping doctors determine Hashimoto's thyroiditis sub-groups.

Acknowledgement

We would like to thank Prof. Dr. Handan Ankarali and Dr. Merve Aktan for their support and valuable comments.

References

Castanho, M. J. P., Hernandes, F., De Re, A. M., Rautenberg, S., & Billis, A. (2013). *Fuzzy expert system for predicting pathological stage of prostate cancer*. Expert systems with applications 466-470.

Caturegli, P., Remigis, A., & Rose, N. R. (2014). *Hashimoto thyroiditis: Clinical and diagnostic criteria*, Autoimmunity reviews.

Er, O., Temurtas, F., & Tanrıkulu, A. Ç. (2008). *Tuberculosis disease diagnosis using artificial neural networks*. Journal of Medical Systems 299-302.

Health Information (2016) www.niddk.nih.gov/health-information/health-topics/endocrine/hashimotos-disease/ 16.03.2016. Kaya, E., Aktan, M. E., Akdoğan, E., & Koru, A. T. (2015). *Diagnosis of anemia in children via artificial neural network*. International Journal of Intelligent Systems and Applications in Engineering, 24-27.

Omitek, Z., Burda, A., & Wojcik, W. (2013). *The use of decision tree induction and artificial neural networks for automatic diagnosis of Hashimoto's disease*. Expert Systems with Applications 6684-6689.

Ozyılmaz, L., & Yıldırım, T. (2002). *Diagnosis of thyroid disease using artificial neural network methods*. Proceedings of the 9th International Conference on Neural Information Processing Vol: 4,2033-2036.

Takahashi, M., Hayashi, H., & Watanabe, Y. (2010). *Diagnostic classification of schizophrenia by neural network analysis of blood-based gene expression signatures*. Schizophrenia Research 210-218.