Original Article

The Prognostic Impact of Comorbidity, Nutritional and Performance Status on Patients with Diffuse Large B Cell Lymphoma

B Sağlam, M Albayrak¹, A Yıldız², P Tığlıoğlu³, M Tığlıoğlu³, MR Aras¹, F Yılmaz¹, S Maral⁴, HBA Öztürk¹

Department of Hematology, Liv Hospital Gaziantep, Gaziantep, 1Department of Hematology, Ministry of Health Ankara Etlik City Hospital, Ankara, ²Ministry of Health Hitit University, Erol Olçok Training and Research Hospital, Corum, ³Department of Hematology, University of Health Sciences, Dr. Ersin Arslan Training and Research Hospital, Gaziantep, ⁴Department of Hematology, Medicine Faculty, Istanbul Medipol University, Istanbul, Turkey

Received: 10-Mar-2023; **Revision:** 31-Jul-2023; Accepted: 01-Aug-2023: **Published:** 30-Oct-2023

INTRODUCTION

iffuse large B-cell lymphoma (DLBCL) is the most common histological type of all non-Hodgkin lymphomas (NHL).^[1] Increasing incidence with age also refers to a highly heterogeneous disease group clinically, pathologically, and molecularly.^[2-4] Rituximab, cyclophosphamide, doxorubicin, vincristine, and prednisolone (R-CHOP) are the standard initial

Access this article online						
Quick Response Code:	Website: www.njcponline.com					
	DOI: 10.4103/njcp.njcp_175_23					

Background: The aim of the study was to investigate the impact of nutritional status, comorbidity, and performance status on patients with diffuse large B-cell lymphoma (DLBCL). Methods: A retrospective study was conducted on 112 DLBCL patients who were diagnosed at our center between 2009 and 2018. Demographic and disease characteristics and laboratory test results were recorded. Assessments were made using the age-adjusted Charlson comorbidity index (CCI-A) for comorbidity, albumin level for nutritional status, and Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group (ECOG) score for performance status. **Results:** The mean age of the patients was found to be 62.63 ± 15.16 years. The ECOG score of 65 patients (69.1%) was in the range of 0-1. The mean follow-up time of the patients was determined to be 25.24 ± 25.11 months, and at the end of the follow-up period, 64 patients (57.1%) were survivors. The progression-free survival (PFS), overall survival (OS), and 5-year OS rates of those with CCI-A > 4were found to be significantly lower than those with CCI-A score ≤ 4 (P < 0.05). As a result of the Cox-Regression (Backward: LR method) analysis, ECOG and albumin levels were found to be independent risk factors for both OS and PFS (P < 0.05). Conclusion: This study demonstrated that CCI-A, ECOG, and nutritional status are independent prognostic markers for DLBCL patients. Initial evaluation of these patients should include all these parameters, which are easily available at the time of diagnosis.

Keywords: Albumin, Charlson comorbidity, lymphoma, performance, prognosis

treatments.^[5] While the incidence of DLBCL increases with age, it is also associated with a poor prognosis.^[6,7] It is generally associated with advanced age, the presence

> Address for correspondence: Dr. B Sağlam, Department of Hematology, Liv Hospital Gaziantep, Şahinbey - 27080, Gaziantep, Turkey. E-mail: mdbugra@gmail.com

This is an open access journal, and articles are distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 4.0 License, which allows others to remix, tweak, and build upon the work non-commercially, as long as appropriate credit is given and the new creations are licensed under the identical terms.

For reprints contact: WKHLRPMedknow reprints@wolterskluwer.com

How to cite this article: Sağlam B, Albayrak M, Yıldız A, Tığlıoğlu P, Tığlıoğlu M, Aras MR, et al. The prognostic impact of comorbidity, nutritional and performance status on patients with diffuse large B cell lymphoma. Niger J Clin Pract 2023;26:1512-8.

of an additional diagnosis, malnutrition, decreased organ function, and a weakened immune system. This causes an increase in toxicity due to changes in the metabolism of chemotherapeutic drugs.^[8] Therefore, data on elderly patients is limited in studies.^[9-11]

Today, the international prognostic index (IPI) and its various revisions are used to predict the clinical outcomes of DLBCL patients. IPI is a scoring system consisting of age, stage, performance status (Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group (ECOG)), serum lactate dehydrogenase level, and number of extranodal involvements.^[12] However, it is insufficient to evaluate the frequent comorbidities and nutritional levels of elderly patients, who are now seen in increasing numbers and are at risk of inadequate treatment. In some elderly patients, increased chemotherapy side effects can be observed,^[13] so the Charlson Comorbidity Index (CCI), developed by Charlson et al.^[14] in 1987, is widely used.^[15] However, data on the role of CCI in the follow-up and treatment of DLBCL patients are limited.^[6,15-18] In particular, there are no data on age-adjusted CCI (CCI-A), despite its increasing importance considering the aging of societies in general and the increased frequency of disease with age.

In addition to the comorbidity and performance status of patients, nutritional status is also an important marker in the progression of malignant diseases.^[19] Many studies have previously reported that low albumin levels at the time of diagnosis may reflect the current nutritional status of patients.^[20,21] However, it has been shown that low serum albumin concentrations are associated with increased side effects in patients with DLBCL, and this may negatively affect the prognosis.^[22-24]

The aim of this study was to determine the effect of comorbidities, nutritional status, and performance status at diagnosis on the prognosis of DLBCL patients.

PATIENTS AND METHODS

Approval for this study was granted by Ankara Diskapi Training and researh Hospital Ethics Committee (Number: 97/07 Date: 05.10.2020). This retrospective study included patients who presented at our center between 2009 and 2018 and were diagnosed with DLBCL. The demographic data, disease-related findings, and laboratory results of the patients were recorded. Overall survival (OS) and progression-free survival (PFS) were calculated. The CCI-A [Table 1],^[25,26] albumin levels, and the ECOG score were used to evaluate comorbidities, nutritional status, and performance status, respectively. In the CCI-A evaluation, diseases at the time of diagnosis, the presence of lymphoma, and age status were also included in the scoring. The patients were compared in two groups with CCI-A scores of ≤ 4 and >4.

Ethical approval and informed consent

All procedures performed in studies involving human participants were in accordance with the ethical standards of the institutional and/or national research committee and with the 1964 Helsinki Declaration and its later amendments or comparable ethical standards. Approval for this study was granted by Ankara Diskapi Training and researh Hospital Ethics Committee (Number: 97/07 Date: 05.10.2020) As a standard of care/action of Ankara Diskapi Yildirim Beyazit Research and Training Hospital, the patient records confirmed that all the study patients gave informed consent at the time of hospitalization and before the administration of chemotherapy and other relevant diagnostic/therapeutic standards of care.

Statistical analysis

Data obtained in the study were analyzed statistically using SPSS Statistics vn. 20 software (IBM, Armonk, NY, USA). The Independent Samples *t*-test was used to compare two independent groups with a normal distribution of the measured values (t-table value), and the Mann–Whitney U-test (Z-table value) was applied to data with a non-normal distribution. χ 2-cross tables were used to examine the relationships between two qualitative variables. A value of P < 0.05 was considered statistically significant. The Kaplan–Meier method was used for survival analysis. OS was measured from the time of diagnosis UNTIL death or until the final visit. PFS was measured from diagnosis to death, disease progression, or relapse, whichever was earlier, or until

	Table 1: Age-adjusted Charlson comorbidity index (CCI-A)					
Point*	Condition					
0	None					
1	Myocardial infarct, congestive heart failure, peripheral vascular disease, cerebrovascular disease (except hemiplegia), dementia, chronic pulmonary disease, connective tissue disease, ulcer disease, mild liver disease, diabetes (without complications)					
2	Diabetes with end organ damage, hemiplegia, moderate or severe renal disease, second solid tumor (non-metastatic), leukemia, lymphoma, multiple myeloma					
3	Moderate or severe liver disease					
6	Second metastatic solid tumor, AIDS (acquired immunodeficiency syndrome)					
For every	y decade over 40 years of age, 1 point was added to the comorbidity score.					

the final visit. The Log-Rank test was applied in the comparisons between groups.

RESULTS

The evaluation was made of a total of 112 DLBCL patients, comprising 58 (51.8%) females and 54 (48.2%) males with a mean age of 62.63 ± 15.16 years. The demographic and disease characteristics of the patients are shown in Table 2. All patients had at least one comorbidity, and the CCI-A score was found to be median 6 (min-max: 2–9). When ECOG performance was divided into two groups of 0–1 and 2–4 at the time of diagnosis, 65 (69.1%) patients had a score of 0–1, and 29 (30.9%) were in the 2–4 range. The mean follow-up period of the patients was 17.2 months (range, 0.2–109.2 months). At the end of the follow-up period, 64 (42.9%) patients were alive, and 48 (42.9%) patients had died.

The biochemical parameters of the patients are presented in Table 3. The median hemoglobin level, lactate dehydrogenase level, and albumin level were determined to be 12.0 (6.6-17.0) g/dl, 265 (120–2314) U/L, and 3.7 (2.2-5.3) g/dl, respectively.

The first-step treatments of the patients and their responses are presented in Table 2. RCHOP or R-mini CHOP (created with a 20% dose reduction) chemotherapy protocol (n: 94, 83.9%) was the most preferred first-line treatment. A complete response to first-line treatment was seen in 72 (64.2%) patients, a partial response in 6 (5.4%), and a relapse-refractory response in 34 (30.4%). The PFS of the patients was found to be mean 61.1 months (range, 18.3–103.9 months), and OS was mean 61.1 months (range, 16.4–105.8 months). OS at the end of 5 years was determined in 67 (59.8%) patients.

The patients were separated into two groups of CCI-A scores: ≤ 4 (n: 31, 27.7%) and ≥ 4 (n: 81, 72.3%). An ECOG performance score of 0-1 was observed in 25 (92.6%) patients with a CCI-A score of \leq 4, and in 40 (59.7%) with a CCI-A score of >4, and for an ECOG performance score of 2-4, these rates were 2 (7.4%) and 27 (40.3%), respectively. The difference was evaluated as statistically significant (P = 0.004). When the ECOG performance status between the two groups was compared, the difference in the median values of 0 (min-max: 0-3) and 1 (min-max: 0-4) was considered statistically significant (P = 0.001). When albumin levels were evaluated to compare the nutritional status of the two groups, the difference between the mean values of 4.0 ± 0.72 g/dl and 3.53 (± 0.61) g/dl was determined to be statistically significant (P = 0.004). PFS was calculated as mean 21.3 months (range, 0.7-109.2 months) 12.4 and months (range,

1514

Table 2: Patients' characteristics and treatment response				
Variable <i>n</i> =112	Find	Findings		
	п	%		
Age $[\overline{x} \pm SS (yl)]$	62.63	15.16⊧		
Sex				
Female	58	51,8		
Male	54	48,2		
Comorbidity [Median (Min-Max)]	-	1.0 [0.0–5.0]		
CCI-A [Median (Min-Max)]	6.0 [2.0–9.0]			
ECOG score ($n=94$)				
0-1	65	69,1		
2-4	29	30,9		
Diagnosis stage				
0-1	20	17,9		
2-4	92	82,1		
Diagnosis IPI				
0-1	26	23,2		
2-4	82	73,2		
≥5	4	3,6		
Ki67 [Median (Min-Max)]	80.0 [10.	0-100.0]		
Bulky disease				
Present	20	19,6		
Absent	82	80,4		
Extranodal involvement				
Present	42	43,8		
Absent	54	56,2		
First-line treatment				
Rchop-mrchop	94	83,9		
Repoch	7	6,3		
Diğer	11	9,8		
Response to first-line treatment		·		
Cr	72	64,2		
Pr	6	5,4		
Rr	34	30,4		
Final response	0.	20,1		
Cr	66	58,9		
Pr	3	2,7		
Rr	43	38,4		
Follow-up		2–109.2]		
time (month) [Median (Min-Max)]	17.2 [0.2	2 109.2]		
PFS (month) [Median (Min-Max)]	61.1 [18.	3–103.9]		
OS (month) [Median (Min-Max)]	61.1 [16.	4–105.8]		
5-year OS				
Exitus	45	40.2		
Alive	67	59.8		
Final status				
Exitus	48	42.9		
Alive	64	57.1		

0.2–98.4 months), respectively, and the difference was statistically significant (P = 0.049). OS was calculated as the mean of 22 months (range, 0.7–109.2 months)

and 15.4 months (range, 0.2-98.4 months), respectively, in the two groups, and the difference was statistically significant (P = 0.040). The 5-year OS was calculated

as 24 patients (77.4%) and 43 patients (53.1%), respectively, and the difference was determined to be statistically significant (P = 0.033) [Table 4].

Table 3: Patients' biochemical parameters					
Variable <i>n</i> =112]	Findings			
	$\overline{x} \pm SS$	Medyan [Min-Max]			
Hb (g/dl)	11.99±2.23	12.0 [6.6–17.0]			
Wbc (/µL)	8344.91±3681.58	8000.0 [1740.0-21900.0]			
Plt (/ μ L)	281588.39±137643.89	264000.0 [10000,0-1089000.0]			
Beta-2 microglobulin (mg/L)	5.06±11.79	2.9 [1.3–109.0]			
Albumin (g/dl)	3.65±0.67	3.7 [2.2–5.3]			
LDH (U/L)	419.71±364.35	265.0 [120.0–2314.0]			

Table 4: Comparison of parameters according to CCI-A score groups Variable n=112 CCI-A P							
Variable n=112		>4 (n=81 72,3%)	<i>P</i>				
Age	<u>≤4 (n=31 27.7%)</u> 44.16±12.10	<u>69.70±9.01</u>	<i>t</i> =-10.674, <i>P</i> =0.000				
Sex	44.10±12.10	09.70±9.01	<i>l</i> 10.074, <i>I</i> -0.000				
Female	13 (41.9%)	45 (55.6%)	$\chi^2 = 1.165$				
Male	18 (58.1%)	36 (44.4%)	P=0.280				
ECOG score ($n=94$)	10 (30.170)	30 (77.770)	1-0.200				
0-1	25 (92.6%)	40 (59.7%)	χ2=8.278				
2-4	2 (7.4%)	27 (40.3%)	P=0.004				
ECOG median (min-max)	0.0 [0.0–3.0]	1.0 [0.0-4.0]	Z=-3.383, P=0.001				
Diagnosis stage	0.0 [0.0-5.0]	1.0 [0.0-4.0]	25.565,1-0.001				
0-1	9 (29.0%)	11 (13.6%)	χ ² =3.649				
2-4	22 (71.0%)	70 (86.4%)	P=0.056				
Diagnosis IPI	22 (71.070)	70 (80.470)	1-0.050				
0-1	12 (38.7%)	14 (17.3%)	$\chi^2 = 6.797$				
2-4	19 (61.3%)	63 (77.8%)	P=0.033				
≥5	17 (01.570)	4 (4.9%)	1 0.055				
≥5 Bulky disease	-	4 (4.970)					
Present	6 (22.2%)	14 (18.7%)	$\chi^2 = 0.159$				
Absent	21 (77.8%)	61 (81.3%)	$\chi = 0.159$ P = 0.690				
Hb (g/dl)	12.77 ± 2.01	11.69±2.26	t=2.328, P=0.022				
WBC (/µL)	7900.0 [1900.0–10900.0]	8100.0 [1740.0–21900.0]	Z=-0.930, P=0.352				
ALBUMÍN (g/dl)	4.00±0.72	3.53±0.61	t=2.962, P=0.004				
LDH (U/L)	320.0 [146.0–1688.0]	248.0 [120.0–2314.0%]	Z=-0.793, P=0.428				
First line treatment			2 000000				
Rchop-mrchop	28 (90.3%)	66 (81.5%)	$\chi 2 = 5.224$				
Repoch	3 (9.7%)	4 (4.9%)	P=0.073				
Other	-	11 (13.6%)					
Response to 1st line treatment							
Cr	21 (67.7%)	51 (63.0%)	$\chi^2 = 0.468$				
Pr	2 (6.5%)	4 (4.9%)	P=0.791				
Rr	25 (25.8)	26 (32.1%)					
PFS (month)	21.3 [0.7–109.2]	12.4 [0.2–98.4]	Z=-1.961, P=0.049				
OS (month)	22.0 [0.7–109.2]	15.4 [0.2–98.4]	Z=-2.058, P=0.040				
5-Yıllık OS		L 3	,				
Exitus	7 (22.6%)	38 (46.9%)	$\chi^2 = 4.557$				
Sağ	24 (77.4%)	43 (53.1%)	P=0.033				

In the Cox regression analysis of the effect of ECOG performance status and albumin level on OS, both were found to be statistically significant (P = 0.000 and P = 0.010, respectively) [Table 5]. ECOG performance status and albumin levels were determined to be independent risk factors for PFS (P = 0.000 and P = 0.012, respectively) [Table 6].

DISCUSSION

Although the frequency of DLBCL increases with age, it is 50-60% curable with standard R-CHOP therapy. However, the standard approach becomes difficult due to the physiological changes that occur in patients with aging, together with the loss of organ functions and comorbidities.^[13] Therefore, it is important to determine which patients are suitable for the standard approach.^[16] The presence of comorbidities can also cause patients not to receive adequate treatment.^[7] Previous studies have shown that treatment with dose reductions of up to 50-70% is given to elderly patients.^[27] Community-based studies have also shown that the presence of comorbidities in lymphoma patients reduces 5-year survival by 10-20%.[28] The IPI score has been used successfully in the risk stratification of patients for many years. Aging is observed in societies with prolonged life expectancy as a result of developments in healthcare practices throughout the world, and this leads to more patients being seen with more comorbidities, deteriorated performance, and nutritional problems. With this change in societies, new scoring systems are needed to predict patients, treatment options, and associated success rates.

With the CCI-A scoring system used in this study, both age and existing comorbidities were seen to have an impact on the success of the treatment applied to the patient at the time of the new diagnosis. This effect was observed to be statistically significant when evaluated separately for PFS, OS, and 5-year OS (P = 0.049, P = 0.040, P = 0.033, respectively. It has been previously shown in various studies that the CCI score is effective in predicting the survival of DLBCL patients, but there is no study that has evaluated the CCI-A scoring system.^[6,15,17,29-31] In general, in those studies, while a CCI score of ≥ 2 was associated with a poor prognosis, from the results of the current study, it was concluded that a CCI-A score of ≥ 4 was associated with a poor prognosis.^[6,16,29]

It is very important in hematology practice to evaluate and support the nutritional status of patients during diagnosis and follow-up. Malnutrition will adversely affect the patient's ability to tolerate treatment and can also adversely affect responses. The easiest parameter that will allow observation of this situation is serum albumin levels. In the current study, a serum albumin level of ≤ 3.5 g/dl was found to be significant. This result was found to be an independent risk factor associated with a poor prognosis, consistent with previous findings in the literature.^[24,32-35] Albumin levels were determined to have an effect on both PFS and OS (P = 0.012, P = 0,010, respectively). The decrease in serum albumin levels can be attributed to the decrease in albumin secretion from hepatocytes due to elevated TNF- α and IL-6 as a result of the inflammatory response to existing tumor tissue and to the malnutrition of patients.^[36] Consequently, in patients with active malignancy, low albumin levels contribute to a poor prognosis by weakening the expected response and, at the same time, making it more difficult to tolerate treatment.

Performance status is frequently used as the most important and easily determined parameter in determining the treatment regimen of patients at the time of diagnosis. Although various scoring systems have been developed for this purpose, the ECOG performance system is still the most commonly used. In the current study, the effect of ECOG was observed independently on both PFS and OS (P = 0,000, P = 0,000, respectively). The performance status of the patients is an important parameter that determines the effectiveness of their treatments as well as their compliance and tolerance to the treatment they receive, which is reflected in the results of this study.

Table 5: Examination of factors affecting ex status (overall survival)								
Variable	В	Standard	Wald	SD	Р	OR	95% GA (OR)	
		error					Lower Limit	Upper Limit
ECOG	0.709	0.170	17.425	1	0.000	2.031	1.456	2.833
Albumin	-0.704	0.273	6.629	1	0.010	0.495	0.289	0.845

Table 6: Examination of factors affecting Ex status (Progression-free survival)								
Variable	В	Standard	Wald	SD	P	OR	95% GA (OR)	
		error					Lower Limit	Upper Limit
ECOG	0.703	0.167	17.640	1	0.000	2.019	1.455	2.803
Albumin	-0.674	0.270	6.253	1	0.012	0.510	0.300	0.864

1516

This study was conducted to determine treatment and follow-up strategies by predicting the prognosis and factors affecting the prognosis of DLBCL. The results showed that a CCI-A score >4 had a negative effect on PFS and OS. Albumin level and ECOG performance score were also determined as independent risk factors affecting both PFS and OS. These results were seen to be consistent with the literature.^[18]

CONCLUSION

In the increasing elderly population, DLBCL disease is increasingly seen in patients with more than one comorbidity, whose performance levels and nutritional levels are quite different from each other. At the same time, as these are a very heterogeneous group of diseases in terms of pathology and molecular status, the scoring systems in current use need to be updated to meet the needs of the patient population. Although there have been various revisions, comorbidity, nutritional level, and performance level are not sufficiently included in scoring systems. The results of this study have shown that evaluating patients with these parameters will ensure that patients receive the optimum treatment and that follow-up will be more successful.

Financial support and sponsorship

Nil.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts of interest.

References

- 1. Coiffier B, Lepage E, Briere J, Herbrecht R, Tilly H, Bouabdallah R, *et al.* CHOP chemotherapy plus rituximab compared with CHOP alone in elderly patients with diffuse large-B-cell lymphoma. N Engl J Med 2002;346:235-42.
- Pasqualucci L. The genetic basis of diffuse large B cell lymphoma. Curr Opin Hematol 2013;20:336.
- 3. Pasqualucci L, Dalla-Favera R. The genetic landscape of diffuse large B-cell lymphoma. Semin Hematol 2015;52:67-76.
- Ekström-Smedby K. Epidemiology and etiology of non-Hodgkin lymphoma – A review. Acta Oncol 2006;45:258-71.
- 5. Coiffier B, Thieblemont C, Van Den Neste E, Lepeu G, Plantier I, Castaigne S, *et al.* Long-term outcome of patients in the LNH-98.5 trial, the first randomized study comparing rituximab-CHOP to standard CHOP chemotherapy in DLBCL patients: A study by the Groupe d'Etudes des Lymphomes de l'Adulte. Blood 2010;116:2040-5.
- Wieringa A, Boslooper K, Hoogendoorn M, Joosten P, Beerden T, Storm H, *et al.* Comorbidity is an independent prognostic factor in patients with advanced-stage diffuse large B-cell lymphoma treated with R-CHOP: A population-based cohort study. Br J Haematol 2014;165:489-96.
- Fields PA, Linch DC. Treatment of the elderly patient with diffuse large B cell lymphoma. Br J Haematol 2012;157:159-70.
- Lichtman SM. Chemotherapy in the elderly. Semin Oncol 2004;31:160-74.

- Habermann TM, Weller EA, Morrison VA, Gascoyne RD, Cassileth PA, Cohn JB, *et al.* Rituximab-CHOP versus CHOP alone or with maintenance rituximab in older patients with diffuse large B-cell lymphoma. J Clin Oncol 2006;24:3121-7.
- Musolino A, Boggiani D, Panebianco M, Vasini G, Salvagni S, Franciosi V, *et al.* Activity and safety of dose-adjusted infusional cyclophosphamide, doxorubicin, vincristine, and prednisone chemotherapy with rituximab in very elderly patients with poor-prognostic untreated diffuse large B-cell non-Hodgkin lymphoma. Cancer 2011;117:964-73.
- Pfreundschuh M, Trümper L, Kloess M, Schmits R, Feller AC, Rudolph C, *et al.* Two-weekly or 3-weekly CHOP chemotherapy with or without etoposide for the treatment of elderly patients with aggressive lymphomas: Results of the NHL-B2 trial of the DSHNHL. Blood 2004;104:634-41.
- International Non-Hodgkin's Lymphoma Prognostic Factors Project. A predictive model for aggressive non-Hodgkin's lymphoma. N Engl J Med 1993;329:987-94.
- Saygin C, Jia X, Hill B, Dean R, Pohlman B, Smith MR, et al. Impact of comorbidities on outcomes of elderly patients with diffuse large B-cell lymphoma. Am J Hematol 2017;92:989-96.
- Charlson ME, Pompei P, Ales KL, MacKenzie CR. A new method of classifying prognostic comorbidity in longitudinal studies: Development and validation. J Chronic Dis 1987;40:373-83.
- 15. Jelicic J, Todorovic Balint M, Sretenovic DA, Balint B, Perunicic Jovanovic M, Andjelic B, *et al.* Enhanced International Prognostic Index (NCCN-IPI), Charlson comorbidity index and absolute lymphocyte count as predictors for survival of elderly patients with diffuse large B cell lymphoma treated by immunochemotherapy. Neoplasma 2015;62:988-95.
- Kobayashi Y, Miura K, Hojo A, Hatta Y, Tanaka T, Kurita D, et al. Charlson comorbidity index is an independent prognostic factor among elderly patients with diffuse large B-cell lymphoma. J Cancer Res Clin Oncol 2011;137:1079-84.
- 17. Lin T-L, Kuo MC, Shih LY, Dunn P, Wang PN, Wu JH, *et al.* The impact of age, Charlson comorbidity index, and performance status on treatment of elderly patients with diffuse large B cell lymphoma. Ann Hematol 2012;91:1383-91.
- Miura K, Konishi J, Miyake T, Makita M, Hojo A, Masaki Y, et al. A host-dependent prognostic model for elderly patients with diffuse large B-cell lymphoma. Oncologist 2017;22:554.
- Porporato P, Understanding cachexia as a cancer metabolism syndrome. Oncogenesis 2016;5:e200.
- 20. Mears E. Outcomes of continuous process improvement of a nutritional care program incorporating serum prealbumin measurements. Nutrition 1996;12:479-84.
- Sayarath VG. Nutrition screening for malnutrition: Potential economic impact at a community hospital. J Acad Nutr Diet 1993;93:1440-2.
- Li Z, Guo Q, Wei J, Jin J, Wang J. Geriatric nutritional risk index is not an independent predictor in patients with diffuse large B-cell lymphoma. Cancer Biomarkers 2018;21:813-20.
- Miura K, Konishi J, Miyake T, Makita M, Hojo A, Masaki Y. A host-dependent prognostic model for elderly patients with diffuse large B-cell lymphoma. Oncologist 2017;22:554-60.
- 24. Dalia S, Chavez J, Little B, Bello C, Fisher K, Lee JH, *et al.* Serum albumin retains independent prognostic significance in diffuse large B-cell lymphoma in the post-rituximab era. Ann Hematol 2014;93:1305-12.
- Charlson M, Szatrowski TP, Peterson J, Gold J. Validation of a combined comorbidity index. J Clin Epidemiol 1994;47:1245-51.
- 26. Bain B. Bone marrow trephine biopsy. J Clin Pathol 2001;54:737-42.

- 27. Peyrade F, Jardin F, Thieblemont C, Thyss A, Emile JF, Castaigne S, *et al.* Attenuated immunochemotherapy regimen (R-miniCHOP) in elderly patients older than 80 years with diffuse large B-cell lymphoma: A multicentre, single-arm, phase 2 trial. Lancet Oncol 2011;12:460-8.
- van Spronsen DJ, Janssen-Heijnen ML, Breed WP, Coebergh JW. Prevalence of co-morbidity and its relationship to treatment among unselected patients with Hodgkin's disease and non-Hodgkin's lymphoma, 1993–1996. Ann Hematol 1999;78:315-9.
- 29. Boslooper K, Kibbelaar R, Storm H, Veeger NJ, Hovenga S, Woolthuis G, *et al.* Treatment with rituximab, cyclophosphamide, doxorubicin, vincristine and prednisolone is beneficial but toxic in very elderly patients with diffuse large B-cell lymphoma: A population-based cohort study on treatment, toxicity and outcome. Leuk Lymphoma 2014;55:526-32.
- Janssen-Heijnen ML, van Spronsen DJ, Lemmens VE, Houterman S, Verheij KD, Coebergh JW. A population-based study of severity of comorbidity among patients with non-Hodgkin's lymphoma: Prognostic impact independent of International Prognostic Index. Br J Haematol 2005;129:597-606.
- 31. Wildes TM, Augustin KM, Sempek D, Zhang QJ, Vij R,

Dipersio JF, *et al.* Comorbidities, not age, impact outcomes in autologous stem cell transplant for relapsed non-Hodgkin lymphoma. Biol Blood Marrow Transplant 2008;14:840-6.

- Bairey O, Shacham-Abulafia A, Shpilberg O, Gurion R. Serum albumin level at diagnosis of diffuse large B-cell lymphoma: An important simple prognostic factor. Hematol Oncol 2016;34:184-92.
- 33. Ngo L, Hee SW, Lim LC, Tao M, Quek R, Yap SP, *et al.* Prognostic factors in patients with diffuse large B cell lymphoma: Before and after the introduction of rituximab. Leuk Lymphoma 2008;49:462-9.
- Mackintosh J, Cowan RA, Jones M, Harris M, Deakin DP, Crowther D. Prognostic factors in stage I and II high and intermediate grade non-Hodgkin's lymphoma. Eur J Cancer 1988;24:1617-22.
- Prakash G, Sharma A, Raina V, Kumar L, Sharma MC, Mohanti BK. B cell non-Hodgkin's lymphoma: Experience from a tertiary care cancer center. Ann Hematol 2012;91:1603-11.
- Gupta D, Lis CG. Pretreatment serum albumin as a predictor of cancer survival: A systematic review of the epidemiological literature. Nutr J 2010;9:69.

