RESEARCH ARTICLE

Open Access



Arthroscopic treatment of focal osteochondral lesions of the first metatarsophalangeal joint

Ersin Kuyucu^{1*}, Harun Mutlu², Serhat Mutlu³, Baris Gülenç¹ and Mehmet Erdil¹

Abstract

Background: Although arthroscopic surgical treatment of the first metatarsophalangeal (MTP) joint involves painful sesamoid excision, synovectomy, debridement, and partial cheilectomy, no gold standard treatment technique has been defined in the literature for hallux rigidus and focal osteochondral lesions. This study aimed to assess the arthroscopic treatment for early grade focal osteochondral lesions of the first MTP joint and to determine the impact of arthroscopic microhole drill surgery on foot function and activities of daily living in a group of patients who failed conservative treatment.

Methods: This prospective study included 14 patients with hallux rigidus and focal osteochondral lesions of the first MTP joint who underwent surgery in 2014 and were followed on a regular basis thereafter.

Results: The patients had mean preoperative VPS (visual pain score) and AOFAS (American Orthopedic Foot and ankle Society)-Hallux scores of 8.14 ± 0.86 SD and 48.64 ± 4.27 , respectively; the corresponding postoperative values of both scores were 1.86 ± 0.66 SD and 87.00 ± 3.70 . Both VPS and AOFAS-Hallux scores changed significantly.

Discussion: In this prospective study, we explored the impact of arthroscopic microhole drill surgery on foot function and activities of daily living in patients with focal osteochondral lesions of the first MTP joint. Our results showed significant improvements in VPS and AOFAS scores with this treatment.

Conclusions: An arthroscopic microhole drill technique can be used with impressive functional scores and without any complications in patients who failed conservative therapy for hallux rigidus with focal chondral injury.

Keywords: Metatarsophalangeal joint, Hallux rigidus, Arthroscopy

Background

First defined by Watanabe in 1972 [1, 2], arthroscopic treatment of the first metatarsophalangeal (MTP) joint was later detailed by Barlett in 1988 [3]. Thanks to advancements in arthroscopic techniques and technology, arthroscopy of the first MTP joint is now used for both diagnosis and treatment of a variety of clinical conditions, such as hallux valgus, gout, and hallux rigidus [4]. Although arthroscopic surgical treatment of the first MTP joint involves painful sesamoid excision, synovectomy, debridement, and partial cheilectomy, no gold standard treatment technique has been defined

in the literature for hallux rigidus and focal osteochondral lesions.

This study aimed to assess arthroscopic treatment, one of the surgical treatment options for early grade focal osteochondral lesions of the first MTP joint, and determine the impact of arthroscopic microhole drill surgery on foot function and activities of daily living in a group of patients who failed conservative treatment.

Methods

This prospective study included 14 patients with hallux rigidus and focal osteochondral lesions of the first MTP joint who underwent surgery in 2014 and were followed on a regular basis thereafter. An initial recommendation for conservative treatment composed of footwear modification, analgesic use, and physical therapy for at least

¹Orthopedics and Traumatology, Istanbul Medipol University, Istanbul, Turkey Full list of author information is available at the end of the article



^{*} Correspondence: ersinkuyucu@yahoo.com.tr

6 months was offered to all patients. Patients who failed this conservative therapy, had pain, showed fullthickness cartilage injury on magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), and were followed on a regular basis participated in the study after providing written informed consent. Patients with Coughlin-Shurnas Grade-4 hallux rigidus, osteochondral kissing lesions, or an indication for osteotomy and/or chellectomy apart from arthroscopy were excluded, as were those who did not attend regular follow-up visits. Patients were also excluded if they underwent any foot operation or had another foot deformity such as flat foot, excessive foot pronation, or moderate or severe hallux valgus. All patients were operated on by surgeons (M.E, E.K) experienced in their field. The mean postoperative follow-up period was 16.43 ± 1.86 SD months. Eight (57.1%) patients were female and 6 (42.9%) were male. The median age of the patients was 44.07 years (range, 38-49).

Coughlin and Shurnas Classification [5] was used to determine the hallux rigidus grade; Outerbridge Classification [6] was used to grade cartilage lesions. Foot function before and after surgery was assessed by the American Orthopedic Foot and Ankle Society Score (AOFAS) [7]. Visual pain scale (VPS) was used for rating pain [8]. All patients were informed about the study and provided written informed consent.

Statistical analysis

Statistical analyses were done with the NCSS 2007 (Number Cruncher Statistical System, Kaysville, Utah, USA) software package. Descriptive statistics included mean, standard deviation, median, frequency, ratio, minimum, and maximum. Intra-group comparison of non-normal distribution parameters was done with the Friedman Test, and post hoc paired comparisons were done with the Wilcoxon signed-rank test. A *p* value of less than 0.01 was considered statistically significant.

Surgical technique

Patients were administered spinal anesthesia and placed in a supine position. An arthroscopic intra-articular water pressure system with pump was considered sufficient for hemostasis, and thus, no pneumatic tourniquet was used. A non-invasive joint distraction technique was applied. Before marking arthroscope entry points, 2–3 cc isotonic saline was administered intra-articularly to ensure capsule retention. Standard dorsolateral and dorsomedial portals were established 2–4 mm medial and lateral to the extensor hallucis longus tendon, and no additional portals were required. First, the dorsomedial portal site at the level of the joint was determined with the help of a needle. Following skin incision using a No. 15 scalpel, the joint was accessed by blunt soft tissue dissection using a hemostat, and it was visualized with a

2.0-mm 30° oblique arthroscope (Fig. 1). The dorsolateral portal was prepared with the same technique, and the arthroscope and manual devices were alternatively used through both portals. A 2.0 shaver, probe, and a straight punch were used as the manual devices. A synovectomy was done first to have a better view of the surgical field (Figs. 1 and 2). The cartilage was examined with the probe, and the joint was irrigated with abundant isotonic saline. After measuring the lesion size, the cartilage was intervened using the microhole drill method (Figs. 3 and 4). After ensuring adequate bleeding, the procedure was terminated, and the entry portals were sutured with 3/0 rapid suture.

Postoperative joint loading was permitted to the maximum tolerated point. Active and passive joint movements were not restricted on the first postoperative day. All patients were discharged 1 day after surgery. Control examinations were performed at 3 and 6 weeks and at 3, 6, 12, and 18 months.

Results

This study included 27 patients with hallux rigidus and osteochondral injury of the first MTP joint who underwent arthroscopic surgical treatment of the first MTP joint. Five patients had Coughlin-Shurnas Grade-4 hallux rigidus and were excluded from the study, five patients were excluded due to having an osteochondral kissing lesion, and three patients were excluded for not having attended regular follow-up after the third month. After excluding the above patients, the study was completed with 14 patients. Six patients were male, and eight were female. The mean age was 44.07 ± 3.40 years. The mean follow-up duration was 16.43 ± 1.86 months. All patients were operated on by two experienced surgeons (M.E., E.K.).




Fig. 1 a First view of the MTP joint by the 30° scope. *Blue arrow* is the metatarsal head. *Red arrow* is the proximal phalangeal joint surface. **b** Synovectomy and debridement of the joint by the shaver




Fig. 2 a Curettage of the chondral lesion. b Microhole drill of the chondral lesion

The mean hallux valgus angle was $13.29^{\circ} \pm 1.93$ SD, and the mean intermetatarsal angle was $9.14^{\circ} \pm 0.86$ SD. Apart from joint arthroscopy, no soft tissue procedure or any procedure requiring osteotomy was done on any patient. The median operative duration was 27.8 min (range, 19–56). While nine patients had Coughlin-Shurnas Grade-2 hallux rigidus with moderate pain and joint flattening affecting less than 50% of the joint, five patients had Grade-3 hallux rigidus with severe pain and joint stiffness. Arthroscopically, all patients had Outerbridge Grade 4 full-thickness cartilage injury. Only one patient had diabetes mellitus controlled with oral antidiabetic medication.

The patients had mean preoperative VPS and AOFAS-Hallux scores of 8.14 ± 0.86 SD and 48.64 ± 4.27 , respectively; the corresponding postoperative values of both scores were 1.86 ± 0.66 SD and 87.00 ± 3.70 . Both VPS



Fig. 4 a Chondral defect. **b** Chondral surface after the microhole drill. **c** Migration of the blood from the tunnels

and AOFAS-Hallux scores changed statistically significantly (p < 0.01).

None of the patients developed postoperative complications.

Discussion

In this prospective study, we explored the impact of arthroscopic microhole drill surgery on foot functions and activities of daily living in patients with focal osteochondral lesions of the first MTP joint (Fig. 5). Our results indicated significant improvements in VPS and AOFAS scores with this treatment.

Hallux rigidus is the most common first-line pathology of the foot after hallux valgus [9]. This condition affects people at a younger age than hallux valgus, and the primary complaints are pain and movement limitations [9, 10]. Pain is usually the first symptom in the initial stages of hallux rigidus. At this stage,




Fig. 3 a Chondral surface after the microhole drill. **b** Migration of the blood from the tunnels




Fig. 5 MRI of the 39-year-old man right foot, sagittal and axial view of the MTP joint

conservative therapy consisting of nonsteroid antiinflammatory drug (NSAID) use and footwear modification aims to suppress synovitis and joint inflammation and reduce pain [10-12]. However, surgical treatment may be preferred when conservative therapy fails in these young and active patients [11-14]. We first applied conservative treatment in the whole patient group, and we proceeded with surgery when that treatment failed. Hallux valgus can be managed via open or arthroscopic surgery, depending on patient characteristics and disease stage [14, 15] Derner and Aldo showed that arthroscopic surgery was more beneficial than open surgery by being minimally invasive, having a shorter recovery period, requiring no special rehabilitation program, and allowing patients to return to daily activities quickly [16-18]. We achieved fast return to work with the arthroscopic approach in suitable patients since this approach caused no complications and allowed joint loading with as much weight as tolerated on the same day of surgery as mentioned in the literature [16, 17]. Pain reduction is another important marker for treatment. Our study demonstrated significantly lowered VPS scores at both first month follow-up and the last control visit compared to the preoperative period in a homogenous patient population involving only patients with hallux rigidus.

Arthroscopic treatment of the MTP joint is used alone or in conjunction with metatarsal osteotomies for the treatment of a wide array of conditions such as synovitis, hallux rigidus, gout, and degenerative hallux valgus [16]. However, there is still insufficient information about the principles of the evaluation and treatment of these patients. Whereas, three patients in Anh's study [17] and five patients in the series published by Van Dijk [18] had this condition, no study to date has specifically addressed it. Moreover, there is no study that addresses patients having hallux rigidus with only focal osteochondral lesions.

Satisfactory improvement of hallux rigidus has been reported with arthroscopic cheilectomy and debridement without a need for revision [19]. However, the goal of this technique is to remove and clear only the injured part that restricts motion, but not to treat other intra-articular pathologies. As the potential for spontaneous healing is slim in the case of chondral injury, treatment with either the microfracture or microhole drill techniques should be considered. The microhole drill technique we used in this study is based on the principle of opening 4-6-mm-long tunnels to enable stem cells to migrate to the injured area and achieve healing with differentiation in full-thickness chondral injuries with exposed subchondral bone [20, 21]. As it was previously reported that the thickness and quality of the newly formed cartilage are better with the microhole drill technique than with the microfracture technique [21], we chose the former technique. The most notable indication of the success of this surgical technique was the ability of the patient to use his/her foot with comfort in daily activities; likewise, we demonstrated significant increases in AOFAS scores following surgery.

Postoperative complications are another problem. While a secondary surgery may be required after open surgeries, especially when cheilectomy is selected [22], complications necessitating additional surgery may occur at a rate of 6% after arthroplasty or arthrodesis [23]. Lin and Murphy's trial reporting clinical-radiological progression and numbness in the first web space at a rate as high as 40% after cheilectomy in a patient group with hallux rigidus indicates the fact that surgical procedures that appear simple may not be as innocuous as perceived [24]. No minor or major complications occurred at early or late periods with our arthroscopic microhole drill technique; rather, there occurred a rapid relief of pain and a quick return to daily activities.

The strongest aspects of our study are its prospective cohort study design and a homogenous study sample. Our most notable limitations, on the other hand, are the lack of a comparison group with hallux rigidus managed by either open surgery or conservative therapy, as well as a short follow-up period. Another limitation of our study is its small sample size.

Conclusions

In conclusion, an arthroscopic microhole drill technique can be applied with impressive functional scores and without any complications in persons who failed conservative therapy for hallux rigidus with focal chondral injury. There is a need for comparative studies with long-term follow-up in this patient population.

Abbreviations

AOFAS: American Orthopedic Foot and Ankle Society Score; MTP: Metatarsophalangeal; NSAID: Nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drug; VPS: Visual pain scale

Acknowledgements

No funding resources or acknowledgements.

Availability of data and materials

Data sharing is not applicable to this article, as no data sets were generated or analyzed during the current study.

Authors' contributions

EK organized the study and writing. HM and SM carried out the writing. ME organized the surgeries. BG analyzed the data. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Consent for publication

Written informed consents were obtained from the patients for publication of this cases and accompanying images. A copy of the written consent is available for review by the Editor-in-Chief of this journal.

Ethics approval and consent to participate

This study was unanimously approved by the Medipol University Local Ethics Committee with the decision number 12002.2017.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Author details

¹Orthopedics and Traumatology, Istanbul Medipol University, Istanbul, Turkey. ²Orthopedics and Traumatology, Taksim Ilkyardım Training and Education Hospital, Istanbul, Turkey. ³Orthopedics and Traumatology, Kanuni Sultan Süleyman Training and Education Hospital, TEM Avrupa Otoyolu Göztepe Çıkışı No:1, Bağcilar, Istanbul, Turkey.

Received: 10 January 2017 Accepted: 5 April 2017 Published online: 27 April 2017

References

- Lucas DE, Hunt KJ. Hallux rigidus: relevant anatomy and pathophysiology. Foot Ankle Clin. 2015;20(3):381–9.
- Watanabe M. Selfoc-Arthroscope (Watanabe no. 24 arthroscope). Monograph. Tokyo: Teishin Hospital; 1972. p. 46–53.
- Bartlett DH. Arthroscopic management of osteochondritis dissecans of the firstmetatarsal head. Arthroscopy. 1988;4(1):51–4.
- Siclari A, Piras M. Hallux metatarsophalangeal arthroscopy: indications and techniques. Foot Ankle Clin. 2015;20(1):109–22. doi:10.1016/j.fcl.2014.10.012. Epub 2014 Dec 29.
- Coughlin MJ, Shurnas PS. Hallux rigidus. Grading and long-term results of operative treatment. J Bone Joint Surg Am. 2003;85-A(11):2072–88.
- Cameron ML, Briggs KK, Steadman JR. Reproducibility and reliability of the outerbridge classification for grading chondral lesions of the knee arthroscopically. Am J Sports Med. 2003;31(1):83–6.
- Malviya A, Makwana N, Laing P. Correlation of the AOFAS scores with a generic health QUALY score in foot and ankle surgery. Foot Ankle Int. 2007:28(4):494–8.
- Jensen MP, Chen C, Brugger AM. Interpretation of visual analog scale ratings and change scores: a reanalysis of two clinical trials of postoperative pain. J Pain. 2003;4(7):407–14.
- Beeson P, Phillips C, Corr S. Hallux rigidus: a cross-sectional study to evaluate clinical parameters. Foot (Edinb). 2009;19(2):80–92. doi:10.1016/j. foot.2008.12.001. Epub 2009 Apr 17.
- Haddad SL. Hallux rigidus. In: Kelikian AS, editor. Operative Treatment of the Foot and Ankle. Connecticut: Appleton & Lange; 1999. p. 127–46.
- Camasta CA. Hallux limitus and hallux rigidus. Clinical examination, radiographic findings, and natural history. Clin Podiatr Med Surg. 1996;13(3):423–48.
- Kunnasegaran R, Thevendran G. Hallux rigidus: nonoperative treatment and orthotics. Foot Ankle Clin. 2015;20(3):401–12. doi:10.1016/j.fcl.2015.04.003. Epub 2015 Jun.
- Delman C, Kreulen C, Sullivan M, Giza E. Proximal phalanx hemiarthroplasty for the treatment of advanced hallux rigidus. Foot Ankle Clin. 2015;20(3): 503–12. doi:10.1016/j.fcl.2015.05.002.
- Ferguson CM, Ellington JK. Operative technique: interposition arthroplasty and biological augmentation of hallux rigidus surgery. Foot Ankle Clin. 2015;20(3):513–24. doi:10.1016/j.fcl.2015.05.003.
- Rajczy RM, McDonald PR, Shapiro HS, Boc SF. First metatarsophalangeal joint arthrodesis. Clin Podiatr Med Surg. 2012;29(1):41–9. doi:10.1016/j.cpm. 2011.11.001.
- Derner R, Naldo J. Small joint arthroscopy of the foot. Clin Podiatr Med Surg. 2011;28:551–60. doi:10.1016/j.cpm.2011.05.004.
- Ahn JH, Choy WS, Lee KW. Arthroscopy of the first metatarsophalangeal joint in 59 consecutive cases. J Foot Ankle Surg. 2012;51:161–7.
- van Dijk N, Veenstra KM, Nuesch BC. Arthroscopic surgery of the metatarsophalangeal first joint arthroscopy. J Arthrosc Relat Surg. 1998;14(8):851–5.
- Iqbal MJ, Chana GS. Arthroscopic cheilectomy for hallux rigidus. Arthroscopy. 1998;14(3):307–10.
- Chen H, Chevrier A, Hoemann CD, et al. Characterization of subchondral bone repair for marrow-stimulated chondral defects and its relationship to articular cartilage resurfacing. Am J Sports Med. 2011;39:1731.

- Chen H, Hoemann CD, Sun J, et al. Depth of subchondral perforation influences the outcome of bone marrow stimulation cartilage repair. J Orthop Res. 2011.
- 22. O'Malley MJ, Basran HS, Gu Y, et al. Treatment of advanced grades of hallux rigidus with cheilectomy and phalangeal osteotomy. J Bone Joint Surg Am. 2013;95(7):606–10. doi:10.2106/JBJS.K.00904.
- Kennedy JG, Chow FY, Dines J, et al. Outcomes after interposition arthroplasty for treatment of hallux rigidus. Clin Orthop Relat Res. 2006;445:210–5.
- Lin J, Murphy GA. Treatment of hallux rigidus with cheilectomy using a dorsolateral approach. Foot Ankle Int. 2009;30(2):115–9. doi:10.3113/FAI. 2009.0115.

Submit your next manuscript to BioMed Central and we will help you at every step:

- We accept pre-submission inquiries
- Our selector tool helps you to find the most relevant journal
- We provide round the clock customer support
- Convenient online submission
- Thorough peer review
- Inclusion in PubMed and all major indexing services
- Maximum visibility for your research

Submit your manuscript at www.biomedcentral.com/submit

