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#### Abstract

Background: Escherichia coli sequence type 131 is an important multidrug resistant clone responsible from more than half of ESBL-producing E.coli isolates. Aim of this study was to investigate the presence of O25b-ST131 clone, CTX-M-15 and CTX-M-1 genes in the E. coli strains isolated from both hospital and community acquired UTIs by real-time PCR and to reveal molecular epidemiological data. Methods: Non-duplicate E. coli $(\mathrm{n}=101)$ strains isolated from UTI patients were included. Bacterial identifications were performed with VITEK Compact. Antimicrobial susceptibility tests, phenotypic ESBL and E-tests were performed conventionally. Real-time PCR was utilized to detect presence of O25b-ST131 clone, blaCTX-M-15 and blaCTX-M-1. Results: O25b-ST131 clone, CTX-M-1 and CTX-M-15 were detected in $22 \%, 73 \%, 37 \%$ in UTIs, respectively. Presence of O25b-ST131 clones and CTX-M-1 genes among E. coli strains isolated from inpatients were found statistically higher than outpatients. The most effective choice was found to be fosfomycin and nitrofurantoin in outpatients and inpatients, respectively. The MIC 90 values of Amikacin, Cefotaxime, Cefepime and Ciprofloxacin were higher in inpatients than in oupatients, whereas Cefotaxime and Ciprofloxacin $\mathrm{MIC}_{50}$ values were found to be higher in inpatients than in outpatients. The highest increase of $\mathrm{MIC}_{90}$ values was observed in O25b-ST131, CTX-M-1 and CTX-M-15 coexistence. Conclusion: The presence of O25b-ST131 clone, CTX-M-1 and CTX-M-15 genes in E. coli strains in patients with UTI has been revealed. In the presence of the O25b-ST131 clone, a significant increase was observed in the ciprofloxacin MIC values indicating the importance of monitorization of the clone using molecular epidemiology.
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## Introduction

Urinary tract infections (UTIs) are among the most common bacterial infections affecting millions of people every year [1]. Escherichia coli (E. coli) is one of the most important causes of urinary tract infections (UTI), whether it is hospital-acquired or community-acquired [2]. Infections caused by Gram-negative multidrug-resistant (MDR) bacteria are a worldwide health problem [3]. In recent years, the production of extended-spectrum $\beta$-lactamase (ESBL) in the Enterobacteriaceae family, especially in E. coli, has increased significantly [4]. Among these, E. coli sequence type 131 (ST131)-O25b:H4 is an important multidrug resistant clone which is able to spread globally [3]. E. coli ST131 clone, dis-

[^0]covered in 2008, is a member of highly virulent phylogenetic group B2, also the strains in this clone often have serotype 025:H4 and the specific type of O 25 is called O 25 b [5]. Besides being one of the main causes of hospital-acquired and community-acquired urinary tract infections in Europe, this clone is thought to be responsible for approximately $20 \%$ of all extraintestinal $E$. coli infections [6,7]. In addition, among the strains identified in many infections, the majority of fluoroquinolone-resistant isolates [8] and more than half of ESBL-producing isolates are associated with this clone [9]. CTX-M-15, the most widely spreading ESBL enzyme among CTXMs, is frequently detected in E. coli ST131 clones [10]. Thus, E. coli O25b-ST131 clone show a high resistance profile to many drugs and this leaves a few effective antibiotic options that can be used to treat patients [6]. Ciprofloxacin is the most commonly prescribed fluoroquinolone for UTIs since oral and intravenous preparations are available [11]. Increased resistance to fluoroquinolones may have serious clinical consequences as they are one of the most effective
therapeutic options in severe Salmonella spp. and E. coli infections [12]. In the light of all this information, we aimed to investigate the presence of O25b-ST131 clone as well as producing of CTX-M15 and CTX-M-1 genes in the E. coli strains detected as a causative agents of both hospital and community acquired UTIs by real-time PCR and to reveal molecular epidemiological datas about our country and also present status againt antimicrobials conventionally.

## Material and methods

Non-duplicate E. coli ( $\mathrm{n}=101$ ) strains isolated from urine samples of patients admitted to one of a private university hospital in Turkey, between April and August 2018. Isolates were deemed duplicates if the same organism from same patients with the same antibiogram is grown from the same sample type within 14 days (for inpatients) or 91 days (for outpatients). We considered patients who stayed for the clinical treatment in a given ward of the hospital to be inpatients, and patients who were not hospitalized but who visited an acute day ward or polyclinic were considered to be outpatients. The inclusion criteria for the outpatients were: not be pregnant, not have used antibiotics within one month prior to enrollment and wasn't recorded any hospital as within 72 h . Cobas 6500 (Roche Diagnostics, Mannheim, Germany) automated urine analyser system was used to detect white blood cells (WBC) count from urine samples and reports generated automatically the microscopic results as cells/high power field (HPF). Patients presenting with UTI, where CFU counts were $>1 \times 10^{5} \mathrm{~mL}$ were included in this study. Bacterial identifications were conducted with automatized test VITEK Compact (BioMerieux, Marcy L'Etoile, France). Antimicrobial susceptibility tests were performed with Kirby Bauers disc diffusion tests on Mueller-Hinton agar (BioMerieux, Marcy L'Etoile, France). The following antibiotic disks were used, amoxicillinclavulanic acid ( $20 / 10 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ ), ampicillin ( $30 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ ), cefazolin ( $30 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ ), cefixime ( $30 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ ), cefotaxime ( $30 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ ), cefepime ( $30 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ ), ceftriaxone ( $30 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ ), cefuroxime ( $30 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ ), fosfomycin ( $200 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ ), amikacin $(30 \mu \mathrm{~g})$, gentamicin $(10 \mu \mathrm{~g})$, imipenem $(10 \mu \mathrm{~g})$, meropenem $(10 \mu \mathrm{~g})$, nitrofurantoin ( $300 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ ), ciprofloxacin ( $5 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ ), levofloxacin $(5 \mu \mathrm{~g})$, trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole $(1.25 / 23.75 \mu \mathrm{~g})$ (Oxoid, Basingstoke, UK) interpreted according to the EUCAST clinical breakpoints (EUCAST, 2016). To confirm ESBL phenotype, ESBL test was performed using the double-disc synergy procedure on Mueller-Hinton agar (BioMerieux, Marcy L'Etoile, France) [13]. MIC values of ciprofloxacin, amikacin, cefotaxime and cefepime were also determined with E-test (AB Biodisk, Solna, Sweden) on Mueller-Hinton agar (BioMerieux, Marcy L'Etoile, France) and interpreted according to the EUCAST clinical breakpoints [14].

A single colony of each strain's overnight culture on eosin methylene blue (EMB) agar was suspended in $50 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of ultrapure water. The suspension was heated at $95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 10 min and centrifuged at 14.000 rpm for 10 min . Thirty microliters of the supernatant was used as a DNA template for real-time PCR [15]. All DNA was stored $-80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ until processing. Previously designed primers; ST131TF (5-GGT GCT CCA GCA GGT G-3), ST131TR (5TGG GCG AAT GTC TGC-3), ST131AF (5-GGC AAT CCA ATA TGA CCC-3), ST131AR (5-ACC TGG CGA AAT TTT TCG-3), MC-3-15F (5TGG GGG ATA AAA CCG GCA G-3), MC-3-15R (5-GCG ATA TCG TTG GTG GTG C-3), blaCTX-M-1F (5-AAC CGT CAC GCT GTT GTT AG3 ) and blaCTX-M-1R (5-TTG AGG CTG GGT GAA GTA AG-3) were used to detect presence of O25b-ST131 clone, blaCTX-M-15 and blaCTX-M-1 respectively [15,16]. Real-time PCR amplification and melting curve analysis were performed using a LightCycler 480 II system with software version 1.5 (Roche Diagnostics, Mannheim, Germany). The real-time PCR mixture was prepared using the LightCycler 480 SYBR Green I Mastermix kit (Roche Diagnostics, Mannheim, Germany) following the manufacturer's instructions. Cycling conditions for the O25b-ST131 assays were: initial denatu-

Table 1
Demographic data of patients and distribution of Urine WBC/HPF, CTX-M-1, CTX-M-15 genes and O25b-ST131 clone.

|  | Inpatient (n:42) | Outpatient (n:59) | Total (n:101) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Age (Mean-SD) | $35.40 \pm 25.18$ | $37.24 \pm 25.23$ | $37.23 \pm 25.18$ |
| Sex (Male/female) | $13 / 29$ | $11 / 48$ | $24 / 77$ |
| Urine WBC/HPF | O25b-ST131 positive $(\mathrm{n}) /$ total (n) |  |  |
| $\leq 10$ | $1 / 6$ | $2 / 6$ | $3 / 12$ |
| $11-20$ | $2 / 5$ | $0 / 4$ | $2 / 9$ |
| $20-50$ | $2 / 4$ | $0 / 3$ | $2 / 7$ |
| $>50$ | $9 / 27$ | $7 / 46$ | $16 / 73$ |
| ESBL positivity | $28(66.67)$ | $23(38.98)$ | $51(50.49)$ |
| blaCTX-M-1 | $38(90.48)$ | $36(61.02)$ | $74(73.27)$ |
| blaCTX-M-15 | $16(38.10)$ | $22(37.29)$ | $38(37.62)$ |
| O25b-ST131 clone | $14(33.33)$ | $9(15.25)$ | $23(22.27)$ |

Table 2
Distribution of CTX-M-1 and CTX-M-15 genes and O25b-ST131 clone by ESBL condition.

| E. coli | ESBL positive (n:51) | ESBL negative (n:50) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| blaCTX-M-1 | $46(90.20)$ | $28(56.00)$ |
| blaCTX-M-15 | $18(35.30)$ | $20(40.00)$ |
| O25b-ST131 clone | $16(31.37)$ | $7(14.00)$ |

ration for 5 min at $95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and 40 cycles of 5 s at $95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and 10 s at $58^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; those for the blaCTX-M-15 and blaCTX-M-1 assay were: 5 min at $95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and 50 cycles of 5 s at $95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and 10 s at $70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The fluorescence signal was measured at the end of each annealing step. Following amplification, a melting curve was generated by heating the PCR product to $95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with a ramp rate of $0.05^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{s}$. Statistical analysis were performed with chi-square test on SPSS vers. 20 software (IBM, USA).

## Results

In this study we evaluated 101 E. coli strains, 42 were isolated from inpatients (mean age 35 years) and 59 isolated from outpatients (mean age 37 years). O25b-ST131 clone was detected in $22 \%$, CTX-M-1 was detected in $73 \%$ and CTX-M-15 in $37 \%$ of all isolates (Table 1). Distribution of O25b-ST131 clone, according to WBC/HPF results showed in Table 1.

Inpatients were found to have significantly more E. coli O25bST131 clones compared to outpatients ( $\mathrm{p}<0.05$ ). The CTX-M-1 gene responsible for ESBL production in strains isolated from inpatients was statistically significantly higher than in the strains isolated from outpatients ( $\mathrm{p}<0.05$ ). On the otherhand, producing of CTX-$\mathrm{M}-15$ gene in strains isolated from inpatients was not statistically higher than in the strains isolated from outpatients ( $p>0.05$ ). When presence of phenotypic ESBL was examined among the strains, it was found to be positive in $66.67 \%$ of inpatients and $38.98 \%$ of outpatients.

Among the phenotypically ESBL positive strains, it was found that producing of CTX-M-1 and CTX-M-15 gene and O25bST131 clone positivity were $90.2 \%, 35.3 \%$ and $31.37 \%$, respectively (Table 2). CTX-M-1 gene and O25b-ST131 clone presence were significantly higher in the ESBL positive strains ( $\mathrm{p}<0.05$ ).

Antimicrobial susceptibilities of the strains were given in Table 3. According to susceptibility results carbapenems were found to be the best therapeutic choice for all patients which was followed by nitrofurantoin, amikacin and fosfomycin. The susceptibility percentage for all antimicrobials, detected in inpatients were lower than in outpatients.
E. coli strains isolated from inpatients were found to be more resistant to antimicrobials than the strains isolated from outpatients. Although the most effective choice was found to be

Table 3
Antimicrobial susceptibilites of the strains.

| Antimicrobials | Inpatient $(\mathrm{n}: 42)$ | Outpatient $(\mathrm{n}: 59)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Amoxicillin-clavulanic acid $(20 / 10 \mu \mathrm{~g})$ | $14(33.33)$ | $33(55.93)$ |
| Ampicillin $(30 \mu \mathrm{~g})$ | $7(16.67)$ | $12(20.34)$ |
| Cefazolin $(30 \mu \mathrm{~g})$ | $9(21.43)$ | $18(30.51)$ |
| Cefixime $(30 \mu \mathrm{~g})$ | $13(30.95)$ | $33(55.93)$ |
| Cefotaxime $(30 \mu \mathrm{~g})$ | $14(33.33)$ | $34(57.63)$ |
| Ceftriaxone $(30 \mu \mathrm{~g})$ | $14(33.33)$ | $36(61.02)$ |
| Cefuroxime $(30 \mu \mathrm{~g})$ | $7(16.67)$ | $18(30.51)$ |
| Cefepime $(30 \mu \mathrm{~g})$ | $22(52.38)$ | $46(76.27)$ |
| Fosfomycin $(200 \mu \mathrm{~g})$ | $36(85.71)$ | $48(45.54)$ |
| Amikacin $(30 \mu \mathrm{~g})$ | $37(88.10)$ | $50(49.52)$ |
| Gentamicin $(10 \mu \mathrm{~g})$ | $26(61.90)$ | $25(24.75)$ |
| Imipenem $(10 \mu \mathrm{~g})$ | $41(97.62)$ | $67(66.34)$ |
| Meropenem $(10 \mu \mathrm{~g})$ | $42(100.0)$ | $93(92.08)$ |
| Nitrofurantoin $(300 \mu \mathrm{~g})$ | $41(97.62)$ | $93(92.08)$ |
| Ciprofloxacin $(5 \mu \mathrm{~g})$ | $11(26.19)$ | $73(72.28)$ |
| Levofloxacin $(5 \mu \mathrm{~g})$ | $11(26.19)$ | $47(99.92)$ |
| Trimethoprim $\mathrm{sulfamethoxazole}(1.25 / 23.75 \mu \mathrm{~g})$ | $19(45.24)$ | $59(100.0)$ |

Table 4
MIC values of the strains ( $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{mL}$ ).

|  | Inpatients ( $\mathrm{n}: 42$ ) |  |  | Outpatients ( $\mathrm{n}: 59$ ) |  |  | Total ( n :101) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | MIC range | $\mathrm{MIC}_{50}$ | $\mathrm{MIC}_{90}$ | MIC range | $\mathrm{MIC}_{50}$ | $\mathrm{MIC}_{90}$ | MIC range | $\mathrm{MIC}_{50}$ | $\mathrm{MIC}_{90}$ |
| Amikasin | 0.5-32 | 2 | 16 | 0.5-8 | 2 | 4 | 0.5-32 | 2 | 4 |
| Cefotaxime | 0.015-8 | 0.5 | 2 | 0.015-2 | 0.12 | 1 | 0.015-8 | 0.5 | 2 |
| Cefepime | 0.015-2 | 0.06 | 1 | 0.015-1 | 0.06 | 0.5 | 0.015-2 | 0.06 | 0.5 |
| Ciprofloxacin | 0.004-64 | 0.5 | 16 | 0.004-16 | 0.03 | 4 | 0.04-64 | 0.12 | 8 |

Table 5
Ciprofloxacin susceptibility according to CTX-M-1, CTX-M-15 and O25b-ST131 positivity.

| E. coli | Ciprofloxacin |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | S | R | MIC range | $\mathrm{MIC}_{50}$ | $\mathrm{MIC}_{90}$ |
| CTX-M-1 positive ( $\mathrm{n}: 51$ ) | 25 (49.02) | 26 (50.98) | 0.04-1 | 0.06 | 0.5 |
| CTX-M-15 positive ( $\mathrm{n}: 8$ ) | 5 (62.5) | 3 (37.5) | 0.004-4 | 0.03 | 4 |
| CTX-M-1 \& CTX-M-15 positive ( $\mathrm{n}: 9$ ) | 4 (44.44) | 5 (55.56) | 0.08-4 | 0.015 | 4 |
| CTX-M-1 \& O25b-ST131 positive ( $\mathrm{n}: 2$ ) | 0 (0) | 2 (100) | 0.5-2 | 0.5 | 2 |
| CTX-M-15 \& O25b-ST131 positive ( $\mathrm{n}: 9$ ) | 0 (0) | 9 (100) | 2-16 | 4 | 16 |
| O25b-ST131 \& CTX-M-1 \& CTX-M-15 positive (n:12) | 0 (0) | 12 (100) | 4-64 | 16 | 32 |
| O25b-ST131 \& CTX-M-1 \& CTX-M-15 negative ( $\mathrm{n}: 10$ ) | 10 (100) | 0 (0) | 0.004-0.03 | 0.015 | 0.03 |

*S: Sensitive, R: Resistant.
fosfomycin after carbapenems (96.61\%) for outpatients, this option was found as nitrofurantoin (97.62\%) in inpatients.

MIC values of $E$. coli strains for Cefotaxime, Cefepim demonstrating third and fourth generation cephalosporins respectively, Amikacin and Ciprofloxacin are shown in Table 4. The MIC $9_{90}$ values of Amikacin, Cefotaxime, Cefepime and Ciprofloxacin were higher in inpatients than in oupatients, whereas Cefotaxime and Ciprofloxacin $\mathrm{MIC}_{50}$ values were found to be higher in inpatients than in outpatients.

CTX-M-1 detected in 2, CTX-M-15 in 9 of the O25b-ST131 positive E. coli strains, also in 12 strains CTX-M-1 and CTX-M-15 were coexisted. All E. coli O25b-ST131 strains were resistant to ciprofloxacin (100\%). Moreover, all 10 strains that are not carrying CTX-M-1 or CTX-M-15 genes and that are not ST131 clone were found to be sensitive to ciprofloxacin (Table 5).

The MIC range, $\mathrm{MIC}_{50}$ and $\mathrm{MIC}_{90}$ values of the Ciprofloxacin were examined according to the presence of CTX-M-1, CTX-M-15 genes and O25b-ST131 clones. In the case of CTX-M-1 and O25b-ST131 or CTX-M-15 and O25b-ST131 associations, an increase in MIC values were detected for the strains, whereas in the case of co-occurrence of O25b-ST131, CTX-M-15 and CTX-M-1 these values were found at their highest levels.

Cefotaxime MIC range, $\mathrm{MIC}_{50}$ and $\mathrm{MIC}_{90}$ values were analyzed according to the presence of CTX-M-1, CTX-M-15 genes and O25bST131. In the case of presence of one CTX-M gene and O25b-ST131
clone an increase has been noticed in $\mathrm{MIC}_{90}$ values whereas the highest increase was observed in O25b-ST131, CTX-M-1 and CTX-M-15 coexistence (Table 6).

In addition, 8 out of 10 strains that were not O25b-ST131 clone and not carrying either CTX-M-1 or CTX-M-15 genes were found to be susceptible to cefotaxime. Also, all 12 O25b-ST131 clones positive strains that are carrying both CTX-M-1 and CTX-M-15 genes were found to be resistant to cefotaxime.

## Discussion

E. coli is one of the most important causes of urinary tract infections in both inpatients and outpatients [17]. Particularly E. coli ST131 clone was considered to be an important public health problem, due to its epidemic potential, virulence and multidrug resistance ability which were dramatically higher than non ST131 clones [18]. The ST131 clone is also reported to be strongly associated with ESBLs such as the producing of CTX-M-15. This leaves limited therapeutic options for the treatment of infections caused by this clone and increases the interest in monitorization of this infectious agent [5].

When the studies conducted on the distribution of phenotypic ESBL, O25b-ST131, CTX-M-15 and CTX-M-1 cases in E. coli strains identified as the causative agent of UTI were examined, Namaei et al, in their study in Iran in 2017, found the frequency of O25b-

Table 6
Cefotaxime susceptibility according to CTX-M-1, CTX-M-15 and O25b-ST131 positivity.

| E. coli | Cefotaxime |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | S | R | MIC range | $\mathrm{MIC}_{50}$ | $\mathrm{MIC}_{90}$ |
| CTX-M-1 positive ( $\mathrm{n}: 51$ ) | 19 (37.25) | 32 (62.75) | 0.015-2 | 0.5 | 1 |
| CTX-M-15 positive ( $\mathrm{n}: 8$ ) | 6 (75.0) | 2 (25.0) | 0.003-2 | 0.06 | 2 |
| CTX-M-1 \& CTX-M-15 positive ( $\mathrm{n}: 9$ ) | 8 (88.89) | 1 (11.11) | 0.015-0.5 | 0.06 | 0.5 |
| CTX-M-1 \& O25b-ST131 positive (n:2) | 0 (0) | 2 (100) | 0.5-2 | 0.5 | 2 |
| CTX-M-15 \& O25b-ST131 positive ( $\mathrm{n}: 9$ ) | 7 (77.78) | 2 (22.22) | 0.015-1 | 0.06 | 1 |
| O25b-ST131 \& CTX-M-1 \& CTX-M-15 positive (n:12) | 0 (0) | 12 (100) | 0.5-8 | 1 | 4 |
| O25b-ST131 \& CTX-M-1 \& CTX-M-15 negative (n:10) | 8 (80) | 2 (20) | 0.015-0.5 | 0.06 | 0.25 |

*S: Sensitive, R: Resistant.

ST131 as $24.7 \%$. The presence of O25b-ST131 clone in the strains isolated from outpatient and inpatients, was reported as $22.8 \%$ and $55.6 \%$, respectively. Also, in the same study, O25b-ST131, CTX-M-1 and CTX-M-15 presence were reported in ESBL positive patients as $78.5 \%, 100 \%, 95.5 \%$, respectively [5]. Marialouis and Santhanam, in their study conducted in India in 2016, reported ESBL presence as $47 \%$ in E. coli strains isolated from patients with UTI. In the same study, they reported the presence of O25b-ST131 clone in $41 \%$ of the strains [19]. Toval et al. studied on E. coli strains which were found as causative agents in 265 patients with UTI in Germany in 2014. O25b-ST131 clone was detected in 22 of the strains, whereas 13 were isolated from inpatients, 9 were isolated from outpatients [20]. Talan et al., studied with the strains obtained from patients with UTI in the United States in 2016, and reported ESBL positivity as $2.6 \%$ of outpatients and $12.2 \%$ of inpatients [21]. In the study conducted by Guyomard-Rabenirina et al. in France in 2016, CTX-M-15 was detected in 5, CTX-M-1 was detected in 4 out of 11 ESBL positive E. coli strains [22]. Can et al., reported the presence of ESBL as $24 \%$, CTX-M-15 gene as $14 \%$, and O25b-ST131 clone as $12 \%$ of $E$. coli strains isolated from patients with UTI in their study conducted in Istanbul at 2015 [23]. When we examine the results obtained from all these studies performed in different countries, the presence of ESBL, CTX-M-1, CTX-M-15 and O25b-ST131 clones are variable. In 2015, Goossens et al. reported that the treatment policies [24], which vary from country to country, may be effective in this difference. In addition to this, because of the lack of consensus regarding the use of molecular techniques, we believe that the different molecular techniques with different protocols used in these studies may cause differences in the results. Besides, similar to our study results, most of the studies revealed that antibiotic resistance, presence of CTX-M-1, CTX-M-15 genes, and O25b-ST131 clones were found to be higher in the strains isolated from inpatients than outpatients similar to our results. Because of the fact that inpatients strains encounter more drugs interaction than outpatients strains.

According to the antimicrobial susceptibility studies conducted on E. coli strains identified as causative agent of UTI; Namaei et al., reported susceptibilies to amikacin, amoxycillinclavulanic acid, cefepim, cefotaxime, gentamycin, trimethoprimsulfamethoxazole, ciprofloxacine, imipenem and meropenem as $97.2 \%, 88.4 \%, 79.4 \%, 33.4 \%, 67.7 \%, 29.9 \%, 23.2 \%, 0 \%$ and $0 \%$, respectively in 2017 [5]. Talan et al., in their study conducted in the United States in 2016, reported antimicrobial susceptibility to ampicillin, cefazoline, ceftriaxone, ciprofloxacin, levofloxacin, gentamycin, imipenem and meropenem for outpatients and inpatients as; $45.1 \%, 44.9 \% ; 92.8 \%, 77 \%$; $98.4 \%, 85.5 \% ; 94.7 \%, 80.4 \%$; $94.9 \%$, $83.3 \%$; $93.7 \%, 87.3 \%$; $0,0 \%$; and $0 \%, 0 \%$ respectively [21]. When all of these studies are examined, it can be seen that there is a change in antimicrobial susceptibilities by the effect of different antibiotics used from country to country, even from region to region. However, it is seen that there is a higher level of resistance profile in inpatients than outpatients, as in our study, and antibiotic options that can be used in these patients are more limited than in outpatients.

Sedighi et al., identified $97 \%$ sensitivity for amikacin in E. coli strains identified as the causative agent of UTI in Iran in 2014. The $\mathrm{MIC}_{50}, \mathrm{MIC}_{90}$ and MIC ranges of these strains were reported as $0.75,1.6$ and $0.125-32 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$, respectively [25]. In our study, the MIC range was found as $0.5-32 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ which is similar to the Sedighi et al. [25], however, our $\mathrm{MIC}_{50}$ and $\mathrm{MIC}_{90}$ rates were higher which are $2 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ and $4 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$, respectively. Cuba et al, examined the MIC values of some antibiotics commonly used in the treatment in their study conducted with E. coli strains isolated as UTI agent from outpatients and inpatients in Brazil in 2014. They reported $75.7 \%$ sensitivity for ceftriaxone in inpatients with a MIC range $0.016-256 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ whereas they obtained $\% 97.5$ sensitivity and $0.008-256 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ MIC range for outpatients. In the same study, sensitivity and MIC range for ciprofloxacin were reported as $64.3 \%$, $0.008-32 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ and $83.3 \%, 0.008-0.032 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ in inpatients and outpatients, respectively [26]. Cerquetti et al. reported $9.8 \%$ CTX-M-15 positivity in their study conducted with strains isolated from patients with UTI in Italy in 2010, also $90 \%$ of CTX-M-15 positive strains detected as O25b-ST131 clone [27]. Suziki et al., in 2009, reported $91.5 \%$ CTX-M-15 positivity and among these strains $21 \%$ identified as O25b-ST131 clone in Japan [28]. In 2015, Drawz et al. detected O25b-ST131 clone in 13 out of 15 CTX-M-1 positive and 6 in 10 of CTX-M-15 positive strains [29]. In our study, we detected CTX-M-15 in 9, CTX-M-1 in 2 and CTX-M-1, CTX-$\mathrm{M}-15$ coexistence in $12 \mathrm{O} 25 \mathrm{~b}-\mathrm{ST} 131 \mathrm{E}$. coli strains. The results of our study are similar to other studies indicating O25b-ST131 clone is closely related to the CTX-M-1 and CTX-M-15 genes. The fact that this clone carries these genes clearly explains the potential of this clone to develop multidrug resistance. In 2011, Ruiz et al. reported the MIC value for cefotaxime $>32 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ and for ciprofloxacine $>8 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$, when they identified the first community acquired CTX-M-15-producing O25b-ST131 E. coli strain in South America. They emphasized that there was an increased drug resistance especially in these strains and monitorization of the prevalence of these strains was important for the clinicians [30]. Sato et al., 2017, in their study conducted with O25b-ST131 clones, both cephalosporin sensitive and resistant strains were reported. However, when these strains examined in terms of ciprofloxacin, it was seen that all of the O25b-ST131 clones were strains were resistant. Moreover, all of these ciprofloxacin resistant strains had MIC values $>128 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ [31]. Röderova et al., in 2016, reported 69\% O25b-ST131 positivity and high levels of ciprofloxacin resistance (> $32 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ ) [32]. The results of our study are similar to these studies, according to our results, in case of O25b-ST131 presence, there is a dramatic increase in MIC range, $\mathrm{MIC}_{50}$ and $\mathrm{MIC}_{90}$ values for ciprofloxacin.

## Conclusions

As a result of our study, the presence of O25b-ST131 clone, CTX-M-1 and CTX-M-15 genes in E. coli strains identified as causative agents in patients with UTI in our country has been revealed. Moreover, antimicrobial susceptibility particularly for
ciprofloxacin, which is commonly used in the treatment regimens, was investigated among these strains. There is a dramatic increase in MIC range, $\mathrm{MIC}_{50}$ and $\mathrm{MIC}_{90}$ values for ciprofloxacin, presence of O25b-ST131 clone in strains isolated from UTIs. We believe that E. coli strains producing CTX-M-15 and belonging O25b-ST131 clone should be followed by reliable methods such as molecular techniques, and these molecular epidemiological data should be monitorized to support clinicians.
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